COMMITTEE: Committee on Committees

MEETING DATE: January 15, 2019

PERSON PRESIDING: Gregory Lapicki

REGULAR MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Christina Tschida, Ryan Martin, Michael Schinasi,

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Jeff Popke, John Stiller

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE: Lori Lee

ACTIONS OF MEETING

Agenda Item:
Approval of September 2018 minutes

Discussion:
No comments or discussion

Action Taken:
Jeff – move to approve
Minutes from Sept. are approved

Agenda Item:
1. Request the Faculty Senate’s Committee on Committees to remove 4.A on the existing Student Academic Appellate charge (Faculty Senate Resolution #18-40, May 2018) http://www.ecu.edu/cs-acad/fsonline/customcf/charge/sa.pdf.

Discussion:
There’s been questions about whether alternate members are allowed to count toward a quorum – the alternate is serving as a regular member when they are attending. If the alternate is serving in place of a regular committee member they should count toward a quorum. Alternates were added to help in the summer and January to meet a quorum. If we put it through as the changes have been written. Quorum = 4 elected members exclusive of alternate members and ex-officio.

Our recommendation is to not write “alternate members” and allow the alternate members to vote so they can have a quorum. However since there remains confusion on exactly now the alternates serve on this committee, Jeff will follow-up with the Chair of the Student Academic Appellate Committee to better understand how the current alternates are being used, i.e. serve as regular voting members (totaling 9) or only vote on issues when one of the 7 regular members are absent.

The Committee on Committees will then decide whether to increase the regular membership to 9 eliminating reference to alternates and leave the quorum at 4 or increase the quorum to 5, decrease the membership to 8 eliminating the alternates and leave the quorum at 4, or leave the membership as is with 7 regular members and 2 alternates and allow them to utilize the alternates as they see fit even if it means the additional members serve as regular members counting toward the quorum of 4.

Action Taken:
Jeff Popke will talk with the Committee Chair and report back on the clarification of the role of alternates during the February meeting.

**Agenda Item:**
Nominations/volunteers for UNC Faculty Assembly were gathered via several email requests to fill three upcoming seats – needing one Delegate and two Alternates. The volunteers are noted below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ACADEMIC UNIT</th>
<th>Delegate or Alternate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catherine Rigsby</td>
<td>Geological Sciences</td>
<td>delegate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angela Dresselhaus</td>
<td>Academic Library Services</td>
<td>either</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ralph Scott</td>
<td>Academic Library Services</td>
<td>alternate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anne Ticknor</td>
<td>Education</td>
<td>alternate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion:**
Christina – I feel Anne Ticknor – from COE has done a great job of helping the college stay informed about things going on at this level.

We might consider in future email requests to ask volunteers to write a couple sentences explaining why they’d like to serve on the UNC Faculty Assembly to better understand their desires to serve in this important role for ECU. It was noted that we probably don’t want to do that for all committees but for something like the Faculty Assembly would help us consider who to nominate.

**Action Taken:**
Jeff made a motion to nominate Catherine Rigsby as delegate and Anne Ticknor and Ralph Scott as the alternates. Ryan – second the motion. Following a voice vote, the nominees were approved as presented.

**Agenda Item:**
Gregory initiated an open discussion about the Faculty Grievance Committee and the formal motion approved by the Faculty Senate to provide 10-years of general faculty grievance data to ascertain the Chancellor’s actions to accept or deny grievance committee recommendations. This information is being compiled and will be forwarded to the current Faculty Grievance Committee Chair, Karen Voytecki this spring to present to the Faculty Senate.

Michael stated that his motion to the Faculty Senate was to explore the results of the Chancellor’s decisions on the Grievance Committee decisions and noted that he did not think it’s a bad thing in general to keep in mind that it might help the Faculty Senate to look at more than just one year’s report.

Following discussion, the meeting adjourned at 3:31.

Respectfully submitted,

Christina Tschida, acting Secretary for the Day