East Carolina University Faculty Manual PART XII. FACULTY GRIEVANCE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

SECTION I.

Appeal of Serious Sanctions or Discharge, and Review of Terminations Based on Non-Reappointment, Non-Conferral of Tenure, or Institutional Considerations

PART IX TENURE AND PROMOTION POLICIES AND PROCEDURES
AND PERFORMANCE REVIEW OF TENURED FACULTY

SECTION I. Tenure and Promotion Policies and Procedures of East Carolina University

(Text moved from former Appendix D)

Contents

- I. General Provisions on Faculty Appeals
- II. Review of Non-Reappointment or Non-Conferral of Tenure at the Completion of a Probationary Term
- III. Due Process Before Discharge or the Imposition of Serious Sanctions
- IV. Appeals of Termination of Faculty Employment Based upon Institutional Considerations

PART XII - FACULTY GRIEVANCE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

SECTION I.I, General Provisions on Faculty Appeals

CONTENTS

- I. Constituting an Appeal Panel
- II. Descriptions of Grievants and Respondents
- **III.** Annual Reports
- I. Constituting an Appeal Panel

A. The Faculty Appointments Appellate Committee, established through the Bylaws (Faculty Manual, Part II, Section II) of the ECU Faculty Senate, shall serve as a pool for populating appeals panels for the four appellate processes covered by provisions of Part XII of this Faculty Manual. These are:

- A. General Provisions
- B. Fixed-Term Appointments
- C. Probationary Appointments

- D. Initial Appointment with Permanent Tenure
- D. Joint Appointments
- 1) Hearing Panel (Section I.II),

- 2) Due Process Panel (Section I.III),
- 3) Reconsideration Panel (Section I.IV)
- 4) Grievance Panel (Section II.I)

Because hearings in matters of non-reappointment or conferral of permanent tenure can present complex and difficult questions of fact, policy, and law, and because of the central role of the panel in gathering and preserving the evidence upon which most subsequent decisions related to the matter will be based, it is important for the chancellor to ensure that Faculty Appellate Committee members, as well as relevant administrators and aggrieved faculty members, are appropriately trained in accordance with guidelines and procedures jointly established by the faculty officers and chancellor.

- B. At its initial organizational meeting each year, the Faculty Appellate Committee will elect a steering committee of four individuals, each responsible for taking the lead in processing requests for hearings before one of the four respective types of appellate panels. These individuals will be designated as the "appellate chair" for grievances in their respective areas. Once constituted, the steering committee will elect a Chair and Secretary.
- C. To determine panelists for appellate hearings, at the beginning of each academic year, the steering committee will develop a rank order for all members of the Faculty Appellate Committee by random lottery. Individuals will be asked to serve on panels in order of lottery rank, except when disqualified because of a conflict of interest. Conflicts of interest include, but are not limited to, those cases in which Appellate Committee members are from either the grievant's or respondent's relevant department, unit, college, or school, or with whom either the grievant or respondent has had an amorous relationship, or is related by blood, law, or marriage (as defined in UNC Policy Manual 300.4.2 and 300.4.2.1[G]). Individuals will not be asked to serve on a second panel until all eligible Appellate Committee members have already served, unless otherwise ruled out by conflicts of interest, at which time the steering committee will return to the top of the ranked list and proceed through the Appellate Committee membership again in the same order.
- D. A request for an appeal panel is directed to the chair of the Appellate Steering Committee. The steering committee will determine the appropriate appellate process to be followed and the steering committee member responsible for that process (appellate chair for that area) will take the lead in further actions on that appeal request. The specified appellate chair will assemble a panel of five regular members and one alternate based on the rank order of Appellate Committee members. Once established, the panel will elect a chair and secretary, and follow the appropriate provisions, detailed below, for the specific type of appeal filed.
- E. Notwithstanding anything in this document, the chancellor may extend any deadline for a reasonable amount of time in the best interests of the university. The chancellor will promptly inform grievants, respondent(s), the panel, the Chair of the Faculty and the vice chancellor with supervisory authority of the timing and basis of a deadline extension.
- II. Descriptions of Grievants and Respondent(s)
- A. A grievant is any faculty member or members who seek(s) the remedies afforded by the provisions of Part XII of the *Faculty Manual*. Grievants must be current faculty members of East Carolina

.00 .01

 University. If a faculty member's employment ends voluntarily during the grievance process, prior to the end of employment the grievant may request the chancellor to allow the grievance to continue. Copies of such a request must be provided to the appellate chair. The chancellor should respond to such a request, with a copy to the appellate chair, within 30 calendar days.

B. A respondent is the person or persons identified by a grievant as the individual(s) whose action is the object of the grievance and may include the person(s) who requested the action that is the object of the grievance. "Respondent" may be used in the singular form, even where it stands for more than one person. Named respondents must be current employees of East Carolina University. If a named respondent is a former administrator and, therefore no longer in a position to provide a remedy regarding grieved issues, the person who presently occupies the administrative position will be considered as a substitute respondent for that purpose. If still an ECU employee, the former administrator may remain as a respondent or be called as a witness as determined by the Panel. An individual or a departmental/unit committee and/or its chairperson may be named as a respondent.

III. Annual Reports

Annually, the Appellate Committee will write a report specifying the number of each type of appeal, the outcomes, and the duration of the process, as well as any other concerns that occur to the committee. The report will be submitted to the Faculty Governance Committee for review.



| 117 | PART XII – FACULTY GRIEVANCE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES |
|------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 118 | |
| 119 | SECTION I.II HI- Promotion |
| 120 | IV. Procedures for Initiation, Review, and Approval of Appointments, Reappointments, |
| 121 | Promotions, and the Conferral of Permanent Tenure (A description of "voting faculty" may |
| 122 | be found here.) |
| 123 | A. Unit Committees |
| 124 | B. Role of Unit Administrator |
| 125 | C. External Peer Review for Promotion and the Conferral of |
| 126 | Permanent Tenure (link to interpretation, dated 3-11) |
| 127 | D. Documentation for Personnel Actions |
| 128 | E. Initiation of Recommendations |
| 129 | F. Notification of Recommendations |
| 130 | G. Procedure for Concurring Recommendations |
| 131 | H. Procedure for Non-Concurring Recommendations V. Procedure for Review of Any Notice of Non-Reappointment or Notice of Non- |
| 132 | Conferral of Permanent of Tenure at the Completion of thea Probationary Term. |
| 133 134 | —A.— |
| 134 | CONTENTS |
| 136 | I. Deadlines for AppealsReview |
| 137 | II. B. Request for Hearing with the Faculty a Hearing Committee Panel |
| 138 | III. —C. —Procedures for the Hearing |
| 139 | IV. —D.—Procedures After the Hearing |
| 140 | VI. Due Process Before Discharge or Imposition of Serious Sanction |
| 141 | — A. Penalties |
| 142 | B. Notice |
| 143 | C. Penalty Without Recourse |
| 144 | D. Specification of Reasons and Hearing Request |
| 145 | E. Due Process Committee |
| 146 | F. Procedures for the Hearing |
| 147 | G. Procedures After the Hearing |
| 148 | H. Appeal |
| 149 | I. Suspension During a Period of Intent to Discharge |
| 150 | |
| 151 | VII. Termination of Faculty Employment |
| 152 | A. Reasons Justifying Termination and Consultation Required |
| 153 | B. Termination Procedures |
| 154 | VIII. Effective Date |
| 155 | |
| 156 | |
| 157 | V. Drandum for Davis of Annalistics of New Decompires on Netics of New Confernal of |
| 158 | V. Procedure for Review of Any Notice of Non-Reappointment or Notice of Non-Conferral of |
| 159 | Permanent Tenure at the Completion of the Probationary Term. |
| 160 | A. Deadlines for Review |
| 161 | |
| 162 163 | Failure to submit the review documents specified in this section within the time periods allotted constitutes a waiver of the right to have the decision reviewed. However by ECU |
| | faculty committees, institutional authorities, or the UNC Board of Governors; however, |
| 164 165 | before the expiration of the deadline the faculty member may request an extension, |
| 166 | provided that the request is made in writing and presented to the Hearingappellate chair for |
| 1100 | provided that the request is made in whiling and presented to the Hearingappenate chair to |

grievances covered by Part XII, Section I.II. The Appellate Steering Committee. Within shall decide on an extension within 10 calendar days of receiving a request for extension, decisions on requests for extension of time shall be made by the Hearing Committee. The Committee. The committee will endeavor to complete the review within the time limits specified except under unusual circumstances such as when the time period includes official university breaks and holidays and when, despite reasonable efforts, the Committeesteering committee cannot be assembled.

Request for a Hearing with the Hearing Committee Panel

- BII. Request for <u>a</u> Hearing <u>with the Hearing CommitteePanel</u>
 Within 25 calendar days of receiving written notice from the vice chancellor of non-reappointment or non-conferral of permanent tenure, a faculty member (<u>hereinafterhereafter</u>, the complainant) may request a <u>hearingreview of the decision</u> before the Hearing CommitteePanel.
 - 1. The Hearing Committee Panel (hereafter, "the panel") shall be composed of five members and five alternates one alternate each of whom is a full-time, permanently tenured voting faculty member without administrative appointment (as per Part IX, Section IV). The alternate shall attend all sessions of the hearing and replace a regular member should that member be unable to attend the entire hearing. Members shall be elected chosen in accordance with the procedures for election of appellate committees the Appellate Committee specified in the Bylaws of the East Carolina University Faculty Senate. Members and alternates shall be elected to three-year terms, and provisions for appointment of appellate panels specified in Part XII, Section I.I. Upon organization, the members of the Hearing Panel shall elect a chair and secretary. Should either officer be absent at the beginning of a hearing, the panel members shall elect an alternate for the purposes of the hearing. A quorum for the committeepanel shall be the five members or their alternates four members and the alternate.

Upon organization, the members of the Hearing Committee shall elect a chair and a secretary. Because hearings in matters of non-reappointment or conferral of permanent tenure can present complex and difficult questions of fact, policy and law, and because of the central role of the committee in gathering and preserving the evidence upon which most subsequent decisions related to the matter will be based, it is important for the chancellor to ensure that faculty committee members, as well as relevant administrators and aggrieved faculty members, are appropriately trained in accordance with guidelines and procedures jointly established by the faculty officers and chancellor. Should any committee officer be absent at the beginning of a hearing, the committee shall elect an alternate officer for the purposes of the hearing. (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-49)

When the committeepanel is convened to consider any matter associated with a complainant's request for a hearing, those committee Appellate Committee members who (i) hold an appointment in the complainant's academic unit, those who(ii) might reasonably expect to be called as witnesses, those who(iii) might reasonably expect to be asked to serve as advisors and advisor (see subsection V.DIII.2, Conduct of the Hearing) to any party of the hearing, or those who(iv) may have any other conflict of interest should disqualify themselves be disqualified from participation in the activities of the committee on a panel related to this the specific request for a hearing. The

 complainant and those individuals or groups who are alleged to be responsible for the action or actions described by the complainant in the request for the hearing (hereinafter[hereafter, the <a href="respondents)respondent(s)] are permitted to challenge committeepanel members for just-cause. The other members of the committeepanel, in consultation with the Appellate Steering Committee, will decide on any potential disqualifications if a committeepanel member is so-challenged but wishes to remain.

When membership of the committeepanel falls below the specified five members and five alternates one alternate, the Faculty Senate appellate chair will electselect additional faculty members to the committee. Vacancies on the committee will be filled first by moving alternates to regular member status and by electing new alternates and/or members as needed to fill the committee roster. of the Appellate Committee to the panel as specified in Part XII, Section I.I of the Faculty Manual.

Upon receipt of a request for a hearing, the chair of the committee shall determine the availability of the regular members and alternates, and shall select from those available one or more alternates, as necessary.

The ranking of the available alternates for selection shall be determined by their years of service to the University. That available alternate who is most highly ranked shall attend all sessions of the hearing and shall replace a regular member should that member be unable to attend the entire hearing.

The committeepanel may at any time consult with an attorney in the office of the University Attorney. who is The consulting attorney should not presently nor previously substantively involved have present or prior substantive involvement in the matter giving that gave rise to the hearing, nor will advise the University administrator(s) substantively regarding the committee actionpanel's recommendation(s) during the review process.

2. Initiation of the Hearing Process

The basis for a request for a hearing must be found in one or more of the following reasons: (a) the decision was based on any ground stated to be impermissible in Section 604B of The Code of The University of North Carolina; (b) the decision was attended by a material procedural irregularity. such that doubt is cast on the integrity of the decision not to reappoint. In addition, the University Equal Employment Opportunity policy prohibits employment discrimination based on sexual orientation.

Chapter 101.1, Section 604B of The Code of The University of North Carolina states: "In no event shall a decision not to reappoint a faculty member be based upon (a) the exercise by the faculty member of rights guaranteed by the First Amendment to the United States Constitution, or by Article I of the North Carolina Constitution, or (b) any of the faculty member's race, color, sex, religion, creed, national origin, age, disability, veteran's status protected statuses included in Section 103 of The Code, or other forms of discrimination prohibited under policies adopted by campus Boards of Trustees, or (c) personal malice. The term 'personal malice'. For purposes of this section, the term "personal malice" means dislike, animosity, ill-will, or hatred based on personal characteristics, traits, or circumstances of an individual that are not relevant to valid

University decision making. For example, personnel. See Policy 101.3.1 II.B. for details."

Personnel decisions based on negative reactions to an employee's anatomical features, marital status or social acquaintances are intrinsically suspect. If reappointment is withheld because of personal characteristics that cannot be shown to impinge on job performance, a wrong likely has been committed. On the other hand, if personal characteristics can be shown to impede a faculty member's capacity to relate constructively to his or her peers, in a necessarily collegial environment, withholding advancement may be warranted. For example, the undisputed record of evidence might establish that the responsible department chair declined to recommend a probationary faculty member for reappointment with tenure because of the faculty member's 'unpleasant personality and negative attitude'. Disposition of such a case requires a determination of whether the personality and attitude impeded the faculty member's job performance. While the terms 'ill-will', 'dislike', 'hatred' and 'malevolence' may connote different degrees of antipathy, such distinctions make no difference in applying the fundamental rationale of the prohibition. Any significant degree of negative feeling toward a candidate based on irrelevant personal factors, regardless of the intensity of that feeling, is an improper basis for making decisions." (UNC Policy Manual 101.3.1.II.B) (UNC Policy Manual Policy 101.3.1 II.B)

"Material procedural irregularity" means a departure from prescribed procedures governing reappointment and conferral of permanent tenure that is of such significance as to cast reasonable doubt upon the integrity of the original decision not to reappoint or not to confer permanent tenure. Whether a procedural irregularity occurred, and whether it is material, shall be determined by reference to those procedures whichthat were in effect when the initial decision not to reappoint or not to confer permanent tenure was made and communicated. The Hearing Committee Panel shall ask the chancellor to certify what procedures were then in effect if that question is a matter of dispute. (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-49)

The complainant's request for a hearing must specifically identify and enumerate all reasons for the request. The request must include (the following: a) a description that is as complete as possible of the actions or the failures to act whichthat support each specified contention; (b) the identification of the respondents; (respondent(s); c) an enumeration and description of the information or documents whichthat are to be used to support the contention (copies of the described documents are to be made a part of the request for a hearing); (d) the identification of persons who may be willing to provide information in support of the contention; and (e) a brief description of the information those persons identified in (d) may provide, and (f) a copy of the vice chancellor's notice of non-reappointment or non-conferral of permanent tenure. The complainant's request for a hearing shall be made to the appropriate appellate chair of the Hearing Committee and delivered to the Faculty Senate office by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3. The Faculty Senate Office will promptly notify the appropriate vice chancellor.

CIII. Procedures for the Hearing.

1. Time and Date of Hearing

349 350

351352

353

354

355356

After receiving the request formembership of a hearing. Hearing Panel is determined by the committee Appellate Steering Committee, the panel chair shall provide a complete copy of the request for a hearing to the individuals named in the request for a hearing. The committeepanel shall set the time, date, and place for the hearing. The date for the hearing must be within 42 calendar days after receiving the request, except under unusual circumstances such as when a hearing request is received during official university breaks and holidays and despite reasonable efforts the hearing committee panel cannot be assembled. The committeeOnce determined the panel chair shall then notify the complainant, the respondents, respondent(s), the chair of the faculty, and the chancellor, of the time, date, and place of the hearing. At least 21 calendar days before the hearing, the complainant shall notify the committeepanel, the respondents, respondent(s), the chair of the faculty, and the chancellor of the identity of the complainant's advisor, if any, and whether or not the advisor is an attorney-("Atterney" ("attorney" is defined as anyone with a Juris Doctor, or other recognized law degree, regardless of whether or not that person is licensed to practice law in the State of North Carolina and/or whether or not that person is "representing" the employee). Within 14 calendar days before the hearing, the complainant and respondent(s) will submit documents and a list of witnesses to be used in the hearing.

2. Conduct of the Hearing

The chair of the Hearing Committee or regular member of the committee if the panel chair is unavailable, is responsible for conducting the hearing and for maintaining order during the hearing. Except as provided for herein, the hearing shall be conducted according to the latest edition of Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised. Attendance at the hearing is limited to the committee's panel members and alternates alternate, the complainant, one person who may advise the complainant, the respondent(s), and one person who may advise the respondent(s). If there is more than one respondent, the respondents will designate a spokesperson for the hearing. There will be an equal number of The persons advising the complainant and respondent(s). The person advising the complainant) may not take an active part in the proceedings. The person advising the respondent(s) at the hearing may be either an East Carolina University faculty member (with or without administrative appointment) selected approved by the Chancellorchancellor, or an East Carolina University attorney, if under the condition that the complainant is accompanied by an attorney. The person advising the respondent(s) may not take an active part in the proceedings. Other persons (witnesses) providing information to the committeepanel shall not be present throughout the hearing, but shall be available at a convenient location to appear before the committeepanel as appropriate. For any hearing from which an appeal may be taken, a

A professional court reporter must be used to record and transcribe the hearing-(Faculty Senate Resolution #03-37)

). Any such record is a part of the personnel inquiry and must be treated with appropriate confidentiality. Only the immediate parties to the controversy, the responsible administrators and attorneys, and the members of the University governing boards and their respective committees and staff are permitted access to such materials.

(Faculty Senate Resolution #03-49)

The hearing shall begin with an opening statement by the committee member chairing the hearing.panel chair. This statement shall be limited to explaining the purpose of the hearing and the procedures to be followed during the hearing. The hearing chair explicitly will note that the committeepanel shall consider only information bearing on the allegations presented in the complainant's request for the hearing.

Following the opening remarks by the hearingpane chair, the complainant shall present his or her contentions and any supporting witnesses and documentary evidence. The respondent(s), through their spokesperson, may then reply to these contentions and present any supporting witnesses and evidence. During these presentations, the complainant, and the respondent(s), through their spokesperson, may cross-examine opposing witnesses. Committee For purposes of clarification, panel members may question witnesses for purposes of clarification, including the complainant and any respondent or spokesperson. At the conclusion of the hearing, the complainant may make a summary statement of up to ten minutes in duration. If the complainant elects to do so, then the respondent(s), through their spokesperson, will be given the same opportunity.

DIV. Procedures After the Hearing

 After the hearing, the committeepanel shall meet in executive session within 3 calendar days and begin its deliberations or shall adjourn for no more than two calendar days, at which time it shall reconvene in executive session to determine on whether it sustains to sustain or does not sustain the allegations stated in the request for the hearing. In reaching its decisions on which the committeepanel's written recommendations to the chancellor shall be based, the panel shall consider only the testimony and other materials entered or evidence presented as evidence during the at the hearing, and such written or oral arguments as the committee, in its discretion, may allow. The Complainant complainant shall have the burden of proof. The standard applied by the committeepanel shall be that the preponderance of the evidence establishes that a basis for his or herthe complainant's contentions is found in one of the reasons listed in subsection V.B.I.2., "Initiation of Hearing." Preponderance is defined as the greater weight of evidence and its probable truth or accuracy, and not the amount of evidence presented.

Within 14 calendar days of finishing its deliberations the <u>committeepanel</u> shall provide the complainant, <u>respondents,respondent(s)</u>, and the chancellor with a copy of the <u>committee'spanel's</u> report and, a copy of the court reporter's transcript of the hearing-(Faculty Senate Resolution #03-37)).

If the Hearing Committee Panel determines that the complainant's contention has not been established, it shall, by simple, unelaborated statement, so notify the complainant, the respondents, respondent(s), the chair of the faculty, and the chancellor. Such a determination confirms the decision not to reappoint or not to confer permanent tenure.

If the Hearing Committee Panel determines that the complainant's contention has been satisfactorily established, it shall notify the complainant, the respondents, respondent(s), the chair of the faculty, and the chancellor by written notice and shall recommend further substantive review.

 Within 42 calendar days after receiving the recommendation of the Hearing Committee Panel and the transcript, the chancellor shall notify the complainant, the respondents, respondent(s), the chair of the

faculty, and the chair of the Hearing Committee Panel chair what further substantive review, if any, will be made of the original decision not to reappoint or not to confer permanent tenure. If the chancellor is considering taking action inconsistent with the committee's panel's recommendations, the chancellor shall request within 14 calendar days that a joint meeting with the committee panel occur. At the joint meeting, the chancellor will communicate his or her concerns and the committee panel will have an opportunity to respond. The joint meeting must occur within the 42 calendar day period. No meeting is required if the chancellor decides to concur with the panel's recommendations

The chancellor must base his or her decision on a thorough review of (1) the record evidence from the hearing and (2) the report of the committee.panel. While the chancellor should give deference to the advice of the faculty committeepanel, the final campus-based decision islies with the chancellor'schancellor.

The chancellor will inform the complainant of his or hera decision in writing by a method that produces adequate evidence of delivery. In the event of an adverse decision, the chancellor's notice must inform the complainant: (1) that, 1) within 14 calendar days of the complainant's receipt of the decision, the complainant may file a notice of appeal with the president uncertainty requesting review by the Board of Governors in accordance with the Board of Governors Policy 101.3.1 (2) that a simple written notice of appeal with a brief statement of its basis is all that is required within this fourteen day period, and (3) that, thereafter, a detailed schedule for the submission of relevant documents will be established if such notice of appeal is received in a timely manner. (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-49)).

The purpose of the appeal to the Board of Governors is to assure (1) that the campusbased process for reviewing the decision was not materially flawed, so as to raise questions about whether the faculty member's contentions were fairly and reliably considered, (2) that the resultdecision reached by the chancellor was not clearly erroneous, and (3) that the decision was not contrary to controlling law or policy. No appeals for denial of early tenure will be heard by the Board of Governors.

VI. Due Process Before Discharge or the Imposition of Serious Sanctions PART XII – FACULTY GRIEVANCE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

SECTION I.III, Due Process Before Discharge or Imposition of Serious Sanctions

CONTENTS

- I. Bases for Serious Disciplinary Sanctions or Discharge
- II. Notice to Faculty of Imposition of Sanctions or Discharge
- III. Request for a Hearing
- IV. Due Process Panel
- V. Procedures for a Hearing
- VI. Procedures After a Hearing
- VII. Appeal of Chancellor's Decision
- VIII. Suspension During a Period of Intent to Discharge

/

I. Bases for Serious Disciplinary Sanctions or Discharge

A faculty member who is the beneficiary of institutional guarantees of tenure shall enjoy protection against unjust and arbitrary application of disciplinary sanctions. For purposes of the Faculty Manual, a faculty member serving in a fixed-term or probationary appointment shall be regarded as having tenure until the end of the term. During the period of such guarantees, the faculty member may be discharged from employment, suspended, or demoted in rank or serious sanctions may be imposed only for reasons of:

- 1. incompetence. Incompetence, including significant, sustained unsatisfactory performance after the faculty member has been given the opportunity to remedy such performance and fails to do so within a reasonable time;
- 2B. neglect of duty, including sustained failure to meet assigned classes or to perform other significant faculty professional obligations; -or
- 3C. misconduct of such a nature as to indicate that the individual is unfit to continue as a member of the faculty, including violations of professional ethics, mistreatment of students or other employees, research misconduct, financial fraud, criminal or other illegal, or inappropriate or unethical conduct. To justify serious disciplinary actions, such misconduct should be either: (i) sufficiently related to a faculty member's academic responsibilities as to disqualify the individual from effective performance of university duties, or (ii) sufficiently serious to adversely reflect on the individual's honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness to be a faculty member.

These Classification of alleged conduct as one categorical basis for imposition of sanctions may be imposed only in accordance with or discharge, when the procedures prescribed in this section. For purposes of conduct more appropriately meets the Faculty Manual, a faculty member serving a stated term shall be regarded as having tenure until the end of the term. These procedures definition of another (e.g., willful, intentional neglect of duty might be considered misconduct), shall not be considered a deficiency in the charge if the conduct at issue is clearly referenced in the notice of intent to discharge and evidence of the nature and/or duration of the alleged conduct supports the severity of the sanction or discharge proposed.

<u>These provisions do not apply to non-reappointment (subsection V)or non-conferral of permanent tenure at the end of the probationary period (governed by provisions in Part XII, Section I.II), or termination of employment (subsection VII).</u>

based on institutional considerations (governed by provisions in Part XII, Section I.IV).

Written The vice chancellor with supervisory authority shall provide written notice to the faculty member of intent to discharge the faculty from employment or to impose serious sanction, together withincluding a written specification of the reasons (these sanctions hereinafter in Section VI are referred to as "for the sanction"). The notification shall be sent by the vice chancellor with supervisory to the faculty member by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3. The statement UNC Policy 101.3.3. The notice shall include noticea statement of the faculty member's right, upon request, to a hearing by thea Due Process Committee (subsection VI.E.). Panel (Faculty Senate Resolution #99-10)

C. Penalty Without Recourse

). If, no written request for a hearing (see III. below) is received within 14 calendar H-days after the faculty member receives the notice and written specification receipt of the reasons referred to in subsection VI.B. above, the faculty member makes no written request for a hearingthis notification, the faculty member may be discharged or serious sanctions may be imposed without recourse to any institutional grievance or appellate procedure.

D. III. Request for a Hearing Request

A faculty member shall timely submit a has 14 calendar days to request for a hearing after receipt of written notification of the reasons for the sanction. A request for a hearing is made to the vice chancellor with supervisory authority, in writing by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3. Upon receipt of such a request the UNC Policy 101.3.3. The vice chancellor with supervisory authority shall, within 10 calendar days, notify the appropriate appellate chair of the Due Process Committee of the need to convene a hearing in accordance with subsection VI.F.1 Due Process Panel within 10 calendar days of receiving this request.

If the faculty member shall submit a timely request for a hearing, the Chancellor shall ensure a process is in place so that the hearing is timely accorded before the IV. Due Process Committee.

E. Due Process Committee Panel

The Due Process Committee (hereinafter "Committee Panel (hereafter, "the panel") shall be composed of five regular members and five alternates each of whom is a full-time, permanently tenured voting faculty member Part IX, Section I (IV). one alternate who shall attend all sessions of the hearing and replace, for the remainder of the process, a regular member who is unable to attend the entire hearing. Members shall be elected chosen in accordance with the procedures for election of appellate committees the Appellate Committee specified in the Bylaws of the East Carolina University Faculty Senate. Members and alternates shall be elected to three-year terms., and appointment of appeals

panels described in Part XII, Section I.I of this Faculty Manual. Upon organization, the members of the panel shall elect a chair and secretary. Should either officer be absent at the beginning of a hearing, the panel members shall elect an alternate for the purposes of the hearing. A quorum for the committeepanel shall be the five members or their alternates. Upon organization, the members of the Committee shall elect a chair and a secretary. Should any Committee officer be absent at the beginning of a hearing, the Committee shall elect an alternate officer for the purposes of the hearing four members and the alternate.

When the Committeepanel is convened to consider any matter associated with a faculty member's request for a hearing, those Appellate Committee members who (i) hold an appointment in the faculty member's complainant's academic unit, those who (ii) might reasonably expect to be called as witnesses, or those who (iii) might reasonably expect to be asked to serve as an advisor (see subsection V.B. Procedures for the Hearing) to any party of the hearing, or (iv) may have any other conflict of interest, should disqualify themselves be disqualified from participation in the activities of the Committee on a panel related to this the specific request for a hearing. The faculty member and the vice chancellor with supervisory authority are permitted to challenge Committee panel members for just cause. The other members of the Committee panel will decide by majority vote on any potential disqualifications if a Committee panel member is so challenged but wishes to remain. (Faculty Senate Resolution #99-10)).

When membership of the Committee panel falls below the specified five members and five alternates one alternate, the Faculty Senate appellate chair will electselect additional faculty members to the committee. Vacancies on the committee will be filled first by moving alternates to member status and by electing new alternates and/or members as needed to fill the committee roster.

Upon notification by the vice chancellor with supervisory authority that a faculty member has requested a hearing, the chair of the Committee shall determine the availability of the elected members and alternates, and shall select from those available one or more alternates, members of the Appellate Committee to serve on the panel as necessary (see specified in Part IIIXII). Section IN of the ECU Faculty Manual, UNC Code, Section 603).

The ranking of the available alternates for selection shall be determined by their years of service to the University. That available alternate who is most highly ranked shall attend all sessions of the hearing and shall replace a regular member should that member be unable to attend the entire hearing. (Faculty Senate Resolution #99-10)

The Committeepanel may at any time consult with an attorney in the office of the University Attorney who is Counsel. The consulting attorney should not presently nor previously substantively involved have present or prior substantive involvement in the matter givingthat gave rise to the hearing, nor will-advise the University administrator(s) following the Committee action substantively regarding the panel's recommendation (s). during the review process.

<u>FV</u>. Procedures for the Hearing

4A. Time and Date of Hearing

 The CommitteeDue Process Panel shall set the time, date, and place for the hearing. The Committeepanel shall accord the faculty member 30 calendar days to prepare a defense from the time it receives the faculty member's written request for a hearing to prepare a defense. The Committeepanel may, upon the faculty member's written request and for good cause, postpone the date of the hearing by written notice to the faculty member. and vice chancellor. The Committeepanel shall promptly notify the affected faculty member, the vice chancellor with supervisory authority, and the chair of the faculty of the time, date, and place of the hearing. The Committee willpanel ordinarily will endeavor to complete the hearing within 90 calendar days except under unusual circumstances such as when a hearing request is received during official university breaks and holidays and despite reasonable efforts, the Committeepanel cannot be assembled. The Committeepanel cannot be assembled.

B. Conduct of Hearing

The hearing shall be enconcerned with the written specification of reasons for the intended discharge or imposition of a serious sanction. The chair of the Committee, or an elected member of the Committee if the panel chair is unavailable, is responsible for conducting the hearing and for maintaining order-during the hearing. Except as provided for herein, the hearing shall be conducted according to the latest edition of Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised. Attendance at the hearing is limited to the Committee's panel members and alternates the alternate, the faculty member requesting the hearing, counseladvisor/attorney for the faculty member, the vice chancellor with supervisory authority, or his/her designee, and/or counsel an advisor/attorney for the vice chancellor. The person advising the respondent(s) at the hearing may be either an East Carolina University faculty member (with or without administrative appointment) approved by the chancellor, or an East Carolina University attorney. Other persons (witnesses) providing information to the Committeepage shall not be present throughout the hearing, but shall be available at a convenient location to appear before the Committeepanel as appropriate. For any hearing from which an appeal may be taken, a A professional court reporter must be used to record and transcribe the hearing (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-37). The hearing shall be closed to the public unless both the faculty member and the Committeepanel agree that it may be open.

The hearing shall begin with an opening statement by the hearingpanel chair limited to explaining the purpose of the hearing and the procedures to be followed-during the hearing. Following the opening remarks by the hearingpanel chair, the vice chancellor with supervisory authority, his/her designee, (or his/her counseldesignee) or advisor/attorney, shall present the university's contentions and any supporting witnesses and documentary evidence. The faculty member or the faculty member's counselhis/her advisor/attorney may then reply and present any supporting witnesses and documentary evidence. During these presentations, the vice chancellor with supervisory authority, his/her_or_designee, or his/her counsel, and the faculty member or his/her counsel, and their respective advisors/attorneys shall have the right to confrontquestion and cross-examine adverse witnesses, and to make argument. Committee arguments. Panel members may question witnesses for purposes of clarification. At the conclusion of the hearing, the faculty member and then the vice chancellor with supervisory authority, or his/her_designee, or

their respective advisors/attorneys will be given the opportunity to provide summary statements. (Faculty Senate Resolution #99-10).

GVI. Procedures After the Hearing

After the hearing, the Committeepanel shall meet in executive session and begin its deliberations or shall adjourn for no more than two within three calendar days, at which time it shall reconvene in executive session. In reaching its decisions the Committeepanel shall consider only the testimony and other materials entered or presented as evidence during the hearing and such written or oral arguments as the committee, inpanel, at its discretion, may allow. The University has the burden of proof. In evaluating evidence, the Committeepanel shall use the standard of "clear and convincing" evidence in determining whether the institution has met its burden of showing that permissible grounds for the discharge or serious sanction exist and are the basis for the recommended action. "Clear and convincing" is a higher standard than "preponderance" of evidence and must indicate that the University's case is substantially more likely to be true than not true.

Within 14 calendar days of finishing its deliberations or after the full transcript is received, whichever is later, the <u>Committeepanel</u> shall provide the faculty member^[i] and the chancellor with a copy of its report, including materials entered as evidence, and a copy of the court reporter's transcript of the hearing. In its report, the <u>Committeepanel</u> shall state whether <u>or not</u> it recommends that the intended sanction be imposed (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-37).-

In reaching a decision, the chancellor shall consider only the written transcript of the hearing, including materials offered in evidence in the panel's hearing and the documents that constitute the record of the appeal, including but not limited to the notice of intent to discharge or impose sanctions, the faculty member's request for a hearing, and the report of the Committeepanel. Within 30 calendar days of receiving the report and the court reporter's written transcript of the hearing along with the materials offered in evidence at the hearing, the chancellor's decision shall be conveyed in writing to the Committeepanel and the affected faculty member by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3.

VII.H. Appeal of Chancellor's Decision

If the chancellor concurs in a recommendation of the Committeepanel that is favorable to the faculty member, the decision shall be final. -If the chancellor rejects a finding, conclusion, or recommendation of the Committeepanel, the chancellor shall state the reasons for doing so in a written decision. If the chancellor either declines to accept a Committeepanel recommendation that is favorable to the faculty member or concurs in the Committeea panel recommendation that is unfavorable to the faculty member, the faculty member may appeal the chancellor's decision to the Board of Trustees.

This appeal shall be transmitted through the chancellor and shall-be addressed to the chair of the Board. Notice The faculty member must file a notice of appeal shall be filed within 14 calendar days after the faculty member receives the chancellor's decision. The appeal to the Board of Trustees shall be decided by the full Board of Trustees; however, the Board

may delegate the duty of conducting a hearing to a standing or ad hoc committee of at least three members.

The Board of Trustees, or its committee shall consider the appeal <u>based</u> on the written transcript of the hearing held by the Due Process <u>Committee</u>, <u>but it may</u>, in its <u>discretion</u>, <u>Panel</u>, including materials offered in evidence and the documents that constitute the record of the appeal, including but not limited to the notice of intent to discharge or impose sanctions, the faculty member's request for a hearing, and the report of the panel; <u>however</u>, at its discretion, the <u>Board may</u> hear such other evidence as it deems necessary, with the opportunity for rebuttal. The Board of Trustees' decision shall be made as soon as reasonably possible after the chancellor has received the faculty member's request for an appeal to the Trustees.

This decision shall be final except that the faculty member may, within 14 calendar days after receiving the trustees decision, file a written notice of appeal, by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by another means a method that provides proof of delivery, verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3 to the Board of Governors by alleging that one or more specified provisions of the Code of The University of North Carolina have been violated. Any such appeal to the Board of Governors shall be transmitted through the President of the University of North Carolina.

When a faculty member has been notified of the institution's intention to discharge the faculty member, the chancellor may reassign the individual to other duties or suspend the faculty member at any time until a final decision concerning discharge or imposition of serious sanctions has been reached by the procedures prescribed herein. Suspension shall be exceptional and shall be with full pay and benefits.

PART XII – FACULTY GRIEVANCE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

<u>SECTION I.IV, Appeals of VII.</u> Termination of Faculty Employment <u>Based Upon Institutional</u>
<u>Considerations</u>

Α.

CONTENTS

- I. Reasons Justifying Termination and Consultation Required
- **II. Termination Procedures**
- III. Request for a Reconsideration Hearing
- IV. The Reconsideration Panel
- V. Procedures for a Hearing
- VI. Procedures After a Hearing
 - VII. Appeal to the Board of Trustees

L. Reasons Justifying Termination and Consultation Required

4.A. Reasons for Terminating Employment

The employment of a faculty member with permanent tenure or—of a faculty member holding a fixed-term or probationary appointment may be terminated by East Carolina University because of (1) demonstrable, bona fide institutional financial exigency, or (2) major curtailment or elimination of a teaching, research or public service program.

Financial exigency is defined as a significant decline in the financial resources of the institution that is brought about by a decline in institutional enrollment or by other action or events that compel a reduction in the institution's current operations budget. The determination of whether a condition of if the institution faces financial exigency exists or whether there shall be needs to consider a major curtailment or elimination of a teaching, research, or public-service program shall be made by the chancellor, after consulting with or chancellor's designee shall first seek the advice and recommendations of the academic administrative officers and faculties as required by following the process defined in subsection VII.A.2.1.8 below.

This determination is subject to concurrence by the <u>UNC</u> President and then approval of the <u>UNC</u> Board of Governors. If the financial exigency or curtailment or elimination of a program is such that the institution's contractual obligation to a faculty member cannot be met, the employment of the faculty member may be terminated in accordance with Section 605 <u>B</u> of *The Code of The University of North Carolina* and the institutional procedures set out in subsection <u>B</u> below.

B. Consultation with Faculty and Administrative Officers

When it is consideringneeds to consider a major curtailment in or elimination of a teaching, research, or public-service program, the chancellor or chancellor's delegate shall seek the advice and recommendations of the academic administrative officers and faculties of the department(s) in question, from units representing complementary disciplines, and from other units that might be affected.

The chancellor or the chancellor's delegatedesignee shall forthwith prepare a report which that identifies specifically the state of financial exigency or the program change. The report must should outline the options readily apparent to the chancellor at the time, including and must describe clearly any options which that would or might involve terminations of faculty employment.

The chancellor's report shall be directed to the Educational Policies and Planning Committee (EPPC) for their written advice and recommendations. In considering this report the Educational Policies and Planning Committee EPPC shall have access to information on which that formed the basis for the chancellor's report was based. The Committee and may interview appropriate persons. The Educational Policies and Planning Committee The EPPC shall be provided a reasonable time frame to prepare a report in response to the chancellor chancellor's report, to be submitted at no later than a timedate determined by the chancellor.

Should the chancellor decide, following Following receipt of the Educational Policies and Planning Committee EPPC's report, or expiration of the time allowed for submission of the report, should the chancellor decide to consider reducing a unit's faculty for reasons of financial exigency or major curtailment or elimination of a program, the chancellor shall promptly establish and convene an ad hoc Faculty Advisory Committee to advise the chancellor regarding the contemplated reduction notify, in writing, any faculty member to be terminated following procedures outlined in section II below.

Whenever such a Committee is created, it shall consist of two members and two alternates elected by the unit; the unit's administrator as an ex officio member; and four members and four alternates from disciplines complementary to the unit, nominated by the University Committee on Committees and elected by the Faculty Senate. Except for the ex-officio member, membership on an ad hoc committee shall be limited to full-time permanently tenured faculty without administrative appointment. Upon organization, the committee shall elect a chair and a secretary. The ad hoc Faculty Advisory Committee, following procedures according to the most recent edition of Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised, shall submit a report of its advice and recommendations, at a time determined by the chancellor. In preparing this report, the committee shall have access to information considered in any prior reports, and the committee may interview appropriate persons.

B. II. Termination Procedures

4A. Consideration in Determining Whose Employment is to be Terminated In determining which faculty member's employment is to be terminated, for reasons set forth in Section 605 A of the UNC Policy Manual, the chancellor shall give consideration to tenure status, to years of service to the institution, and to other factors deemed relevant, but the primary consideration of the chancellor and the ad hoc advisory committee shall be the maintenance of a sound and balanced educational program that is consistent with the functions and responsibilities of the institution. Where no conflict with this primary consideration exists, priority for retention shall be given the permanently tenured faculty who are senior in years of service to the University.

- —2B. Timely Notice of Termination
 - a1. When a faculty member's employment is to be terminated because of major curtailment or elimination of a teaching, research, or public—service program, and such curtailment or elimination of program is not founded upon financial exigency, thea permanently tenured faculty member shall be given timely notice as follows:

 One who has permanent tenure shall be given not less thanat least twelve months of notice; and one who does not have. A faculty member without permanent tenure shall be given notice in accordance with the requirements specified in Sectionsubsection II.C below.
 - b2. When a faculty member's employment is to be terminated because of financial exigency, the institution will make every reasonable effort, consistent with the need to maintain sound educational programs and within the limits of available resources, to give the same notice as set forth in the preceding paragraph.

3. ____C. Type of Notice to be Given

- a. Should the chancellor decide to terminate employment following receipt of the report of the Ad hoc Faculty Advisory Committee, the chancellor or the chancellor's delegate shall send the 1. An individual faculty member whose employment is to be terminated a written statement shall be notified of this fact in writing by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3. UNC Policy 101.3.3. This notice shall include (i) a statement of the conditions requiring termination of the faculty member's employment; (ii) a general description of the procedures followed in making the decision; (iii) a disclosure of pertinent financial or other data upon which the decision was based; (iv) a statement of the faculty member's right, upon request, to a reconsideration of the decision by a faculty committee appellate panel if the faculty member alleges that the decision to terminate employment was arbitrary or capricious; and (v) a copy of this procedure on termination of employment.
- b2. For a period of two years after the effective date of termination of a faculty member's contract for any of theonly reasons specified in subsection VIII.A.1., above, the institution shall not replace the faculty member in a comparably defined position without first offering the position to the person whose employment was terminated. The offer shall be sent by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3 to the address last furnished to the chancellor's office, and the faculty member will be given thirty30 calendar days after attempted delivery of the notice in which to accept or reject the offer. The offer to resume a terminated position shall provide for tenure status, rank, and salary at least equal to those held by the faculty member at the time of termination.
 - c. The institution, when3. When requested in writing by a faculty member whose employment has been terminated, the institution shall give reasonable assistance in finding other employment. Such assistance shallshould include secretarial, for example, administrative assistance, access to the telephone (including long distance), University/unit stationarystationery and postage, travel funds to professional meetings, and other assistance as deemed reasonable and appropriate in the profession.
- 4.D. Termination if Reconsideration is Not Requested

If, within 10 working 14 calendar days after receipt of notice required by subsection VII.B.3 above notice, the faculty member makes no written request for a reconsideration hearing, employment will be terminated at the date specified in the notice given pursuant to subsection VII.B.3, and without recourse to any institutional grievance or appellate procedure.

III. Request for Reconsideration Hearing

Within 10 working14 calendar days after receiving the notice required by subsection VII.B.3notice, the faculty member may request a reconsideration of the decision to terminate employment by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3 a reconsideration of the decision to terminate employment, if the faculty member alleges that the decision was arbitrary or capricious. The request shall be submitted to the chancellor and shall specify the grounds upon which it is contended contention that the decision to terminate employment was arbitrary or capricious, and shall include a short, plain statement of facts that the faculty member believes support the contention. Submission of such a request constitutes on the part of the faculty member: (1) a claim that the that contention can be supported by representation of factual evidence, and (2) an agreement that the institution may offer in rebuttal of the faculty member's contention any relevant data within its possession. Upon receipt of such a request the chancellor shall, within 10 working calendar days, notify the appropriate appellate chair of the Reconsideration Committee of the need to convene a hearing in accordance with subsection VII.B.7Reconsideration Hearing Panel.

-6.

IV. The Reconsideration Committee Panel

The Reconsideration Committee Panel (hereafter, 'the panel') shall be composed of five members and five alternates one alternate, each of whom is a full time permanently tenured voting faculty member without administrative appointment. The alternate shall attend all sessions of the hearing and shall replace a regular member should that member be unable to attend the entire hearing. Members shall be elected chosen in accordance with the procedures for election of appellate committees the Appellate Committee specified in the Bylaws of the East Carolina University Faculty Senate. Members and alternates shall be elected to three-year terms, and appointment of appeals panels described in Part XII, Section I.I of this Faculty Manual. A quorum for the committee panel shall be the five members or their alternates. four members and the alternate. If membership of the panel falls below the specified five members and one alternate, the appellate chair will appoint additional members of the Appellate Committee as described in Part XII, Section I of the Faculty Manual.

Upon organization, the members of the Reconsideration CommitteePanel shall elect a chair and a secretary. Should any committeeeither officer be absent at the beginning of a hearing, the committeepanel members shall elect an alternate officer for the purposes of the hearing.

When the committeepanel is convened to consider any matter associated with a faculty member's request for a hearing, those committee Appellate Committee members who hold an appointment in the faculty member's academic unit, those who might reasonably expect to be called as witnesses, those who participated directly in the decision to terminate the faculty member's employment, or those who may have any other conflict of interest should disqualify themselves be disqualified from participation in the activities of the committee panel related to this specific request for a hearing. The faculty member and the chancellor, or the chancellor's

representativedesignee, are permitted to challenge committeepanel members for just cause. The other members of the committeepanel, including the alternate, will decide by majority vote (excluding the panel member challenged) on any potential disqualifications if a committeepanel member is so challenged but wishes to remain.

When, between elections, membership of the committee falls below the specified five members and five alternates, the chair of the faculty, in consultation with the Committee on Committees, shall appoint members to the committee. Vacancies on the committee will be filled by first moving alternates to members and by making appointments as alternates.

Upon receipt of a request for a hearing, the chair of the committee shall determine the availability of the elected members and alternates, and shall select from those available one or more alternates, as necessary.

The ranking of the available alternates for selection shall be determined by their years of service to the University. That available alternate who is most highly ranked shall attend all sessions of the hearing and shall replace a regular member should that member be unable to attend the entire hearing. The committeepanel may at any time consult with an attorney in the office of the University Attorney, who is The consulting attorney should not presently nor previously substantively involved have present or prior substantive involvement in the matter giving that gave rise to the hearing, nor will advise the University administrator(s) following the committee actions ubstantively regarding the panel's recommendation(s).—) during the review, although individual attorneys may play the same role at different points in the process.

7. Procedures for the Hearing

a. A. Time and Date of Hearing

The Reconsideration Committee Panel shall set the time, date, and place for the hearing. The date for the hearing must should be within 30 working 42 calendar days of the time the committee appellate chair receives the chancellor's notification of the faculty member's written request for a hearing. The committee panel chair shall notify the affected faculty member, the chancellor, and the chair of the faculty of the time, date, and place of the hearing. The committee panel may, upon the faculty member's written request and for good cause, postpone the date of the hearing by written notice to the faculty member, chancellor, appellate chair, and chair of the faculty.

b. B. Conduct of Hearing

The Reconsideration Committee's Panel's review of the faculty member's appeal shall be limited solely to determining whether the decision to terminate employment was arbitrary or capricious. The panel chair of the Reconsideration Committee is responsible for conducting the hearing and for maintaining order during the hearing. Except as provided for herein, the hearing shall be conducted according to the latest edition of Robert's Rules of Order, Newly Revised. Attendance at the hearing is limited to the committee'spanel members and alternatesalternate, the faculty member requesting the hearing, advisor or counsel for the faculty member, the chancellor or the chancellor's delegatesdesignee (hereinafter, the chancellor), and counsel for the chancellor. Other persons (witnesses) providing information to the committeepanel shall not be present throughout the hearing, but shall be available at a convenient location to appear before the committeepanel as appropriate. The Upon request, the faculty member and the committeepanel shall be given

access, upon request, to documents that were used in making the decision to terminate the faculty member's employment after the decision was made that the position must be terminated. For any hearing from which an appeal may be taken, a. A professional court reporter must be used to record and transcribe the hearing. (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-37)).

The hearing shall begin with an opening statement by the <u>panel</u> chair-of the committee limited to explaining the purpose of the hearing and the procedures to be followed during the hearing. Following the opening remarks by the <u>committee panel</u> chair, the faculty member or the faculty member's counsel shall present his or her contentions and any supporting witnesses and documentary evidence. The chancellor or the chancellor's counsel may then reply and present any supporting witnesses and evidence in rebuttal of the faculty members contentions or in general support of the decision to terminate the faculty member's employment. During these presentations, the faculty member or his or her counsel, and the chancellor, <u>chancellor's designee</u>, or his or her counsel, may <u>cross-examine opposingquestion any of the</u> witnesses. <u>Committee Panel</u> members may question witnesses for purposes of clarification. At the conclusion of the hearing, the faculty member <u>or his/her advisor or legal counsel</u>, and then the chancellor, <u>designee</u>, or the <u>chancellor's</u> counsel will be given the opportunity to provide summary statements.

8.-VI. Procedures After the Hearing

After the hearing, the committee The panel shall meet in executive session and to begin its deliberations or shall adjourn for no more than two working days, at which time it shall reconvene in executive session. no more than three calendar days after the hearing. In reaching its decisions the committee panel shall consider only the testimony and other materials entered or presented as evidence during the hearing.

Within 10 working 14 calendar days of finishing its deliberations or receipt of the court reporter's transcript, whichever is later, the committeepanel shall provide the faculty member and the chancellor with a copy of its report, including materials entered as evidence, and a copy of the court reporter's transcript of the hearing. (Faculty Senate Resolution #03-37)).

If the Reconsideration Committee Panel determines that the contention of the faculty member has not been established, itthe report shall, by a simple unelaborated statement, so notify the faculty member, the chair of the faculty and the chancellor. The faculty member may appeal the decision to terminate employment to the chancellor within 10 calendar days following receipt of the committee's decision. (Faculty Senate Resolution #99-4)

If the Reconsideration Committeepanel determines that the faculty member's contention has been satisfactorily established, itthe report shall so notify the faculty member, the chair of the faculty, and the chancellor by a written notice that shall also include, and provide a recommendation for corrective action by the chancellor.

Within 30 working 10 calendar days after receiving the recommendation, the chancellor shall send written notice to the faculty member by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3, with copies to the chair of the faculty, and the chair of the Reconsideration Committee Panel, giving hisa decision and stating what modification, if

any, the chancellor will make be made with respect to the original decision to terminate the faculty member's employment. If the chancellor fails to reverse the original decision

If the chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the Reconsideration Panel and withdraws the termination notice, the decision is final and written notification thereof shall be sent to the faculty member, the chair of the faculty, and the chair of the Reconsideration Panel. If the original termination decision is not reversed, the chancellor shall send written notice of such to the faculty member by a method that provides delivery verification and is consistent with UNC Policy 101.3.3, with copies to the chair of the faculty, and the chair of the Reconsideration Committee Panel. The faculty member may appeal the termination to the Board of Trustees within 10 calendar days following receipt of the chancellor's decision- (Faculty Senate Resolution #99-4)).

VII. The exercise of Appeal to the Board of Trustees

This appeal shall be transmitted through the chancellor and shall be addressed to the chair of the Board. The faculty member must file a notice of appeal within 14 calendar days after the faculty member receives the chancellor's decision. The appeal to the Board of Trustees' jurisdiction is refined to ensure that primary emphasis remains properly focused on the campus grievance procedures. Requests for appellate review will be screened to determine whether the Board should consider the issues raised in a petitioner's request for review. The following basic standards will guide that screening process:

- a. The Board will grant requests to review contentions that the grievance procedures followed shall be decided by the campus in a particular case did not comport with University requirements that affect the credibility, reliability, and fairness of such inquiries, thereby arguably depriving the grievant of a valid opportunity to establish his or her contentions.
- b. The Board will grant requests to review University policy issues implicated by a particular grievance, when full Board; however, the question appears to require intervention by the governing board to clarify the definition, interpretation, or application of such policies.
- conclusion reached only if (a) the case involves a substantial interest of the grievant, and/or (b) the history of the case reveals disagreement, with respect to the sufficiency of the evidence to sustain the grievant's contentions, among the responsible decision makers, i.e., the reconsideration may delegate the duty of conducting a hearing to a standing or ad hoc committee, the chancellor, or the board of Trustees (171); if the responsible decision makers are in accord, normally no such appeal will be entertained by the Board of Trustees. Under the foregoing prescriptions, it is necessary for prospective petitioners to evaluate their circumstances carefully, to understand the purposes of permissible appellate review, and to formulate clearly and concisely their statement of the one or more grounds on which they believe the Board should exercise its appellate jurisdiction. Thus, the first

⁴A faculty member receiving a notice of non-conferral of early permanent tenure may file a grievance in accordance with *ECU Faculty Manual* Part XII, Section I. The Board of Trustees will remain responsible for reviewing, on appeal, a grievant's contention that the chancellor's decision (or affirmance of a faculty committee decision) was clearly erroneous.

step in any appeal to the Board of Trustees will be an evaluation by the Board, through a designated committee, with staff assistance, of the grievant's written statement of grounds for appeal, to determine whether the issues sought to be raised warrant Board attention, as judged by the three basic standards, of at least three members.

If the chancellor concurs in the recommendation of the committee that is favorable to the faculty member, the decision is final and written notification thereof shall be sent to the faculty member, the chair of the faculty, and the chair of the Reconsideration Committee.

VIII. Effective Date

- A. These policies and regulations supersede all other institutional documents governing the matters covered herein.
- B. Except as otherwise provided below, all provisions of these policies and regulations shall become operative on the date they are approved by the President of the University of North Carolina.

These regulations as amended shall apply only to those appeals following non-reappointments and non-conferrals of tenure in which the original decision not to reappoint or not to confer permanent tenure was made after the effective date of these regulations. Regulations applicable to appeals following non-reappointment or non-conferral of tenure in which the original decision not to reappoint or not to grant permanent tenure was made prior to the effective date of these regulations are those rules in effect at the time that the original decision was made.

The Board of Trustees or its designated committee shall consider the appeal based on the written transcript of the hearing held by the Reconsideration Panel, including materials offered in evidence and the documents that constitute the record of the appeal. These include, but are not limited to, the statement of termination, the faculty member's request for a hearing, and the report of the panel. At its discretion, the Board may hear such other evidence as it deems necessary, with the opportunity for rebuttal. The Board of Trustees' decision shall be made as soon as reasonably possible after the chancellor has received the faculty member's request for an appeal to the Trustees. The decision of the Board of Trustees shall be final.

In compliance with UNC Code 602 (1) final approval of this <u>documentPart XII, Section I</u> involves the Faculty Senate, Chancellor, Senior Vice President for Academic Affairs, General Counsel, and President of UNC System.)

Approved (entire document)

Faculty Senate Resolution #06-19_____

1070 January 9, 2007

Erskine Bowles,

East Carolina University Faculty Manual

