2013/2014 FACULTY GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE:

FINAL
MINUTES OF MEETING DATE: 042314

REGULAR MEMBERS (_X_ IN ATTENDANCE):
Charles Boklage _X__, Nelson Cooper _X__, Edson Justiniano _X__, Derek Maher, _X_, Cheryl McFadden _O_, Marianne Montgomery _O_, Andrew Morehead _X_, John Stiller _O_

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS (_X_ IN ATTENDANCE):
Sheila Bunch, Chancellor’s Rep _O__, Marilyn Sheerer, Provost / VCAA _O__, Phyllis Horns, VCHS _X__, Ron Mitchelson, VCRGS _X__, Mark Sprague, Faculty Chair _X__, Fac Sen Rep (position open) _O_

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE: Linda Ingalls, Lori Lee

PERSON PRESIDING: Justiniano

______________________________

ACTIONS OF MEETING

I. Meeting called to order: 3:05pm

II. Approval of minutes of 4/9/14 (unanimous)

III. Review of Part IX Appellate Structure

Line 11-13: Add “see below” at the end of item (a)
Make lines 17-24 a block quote; change single quotes to double quotes
Make lines 26-47 a block quote; change single quotes to double quotes
Line 62: add “may choose an advisor to assist them in preparing their case, such as…”
Line 63: change to “The Faculty Senate Office maintains a list of faculty counselors, who may serve as an advisor” and remove parentheses.
Lines 66-68: Change to “The respondents have access to the resources of the University Attorneys office”
Revisit lines 72-75 at a later meeting
Line 84-90: Remove the paragraph and replace with “Mediation procedures are explained in sub-section E below.”
Line 101: Delete the second period; replace “second-guess” with “substitute”
Line 118: change to “request an extension based upon compelling personal circumstances, provided…”
Line 121: Add the following after the sentence: “Such a request will be granted if a majority of the Panel agrees that exceptional circumstances justify postponement.”
Line 165-166: Remove “as well as relevant administrators and aggrieved faculty members,”
Lines 239-241: Replace first sentence with “In order to take advantage of these procedures, a faculty member must submit a written request of an administrative review by the Vice-Chancellor within 14 calendar days of receiving the Vice-Chancellor's written notice of non-reappointment.”
Lines 250-256: change to “The complainant’s written request to the Vice Chancellor, limited to 5 double-spaced pages excluding attachments, shall specifically identify (a) the impermissible reason(s) for the non-reappointment which are alleged and (b) respondent(s) responsible for the recommendations that the complainant contends were based on impermissible reasons (see Hearing Review below for information regarding who may be named as a respondent).”
Lines 258-269: Use language from line 570 as edited by Professor Sprague and Professor Justiniano

Meeting adjourned: 5:05pm

Respectfully submitted,

Nelson Cooper