

FINAL

COMMITTEE: Libraries Committee

MEETING DATE: 11/20/2013

PERSON PRESIDING: Robert Campbell

REGULAR MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Amy Lyndon, Gail Munde, Chris Oakley, Qin Ding, Imre Patyi, Robert Campbell, Patricia Dragon, Jennifer Valko

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Beth Thompson

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE: Joseph Thomas, filling in for Jan Lewis, Beth Ketterman filling in for Richard Eakin

Agenda Item: Discussion on the recent tenure decision

Discussion: Campbell shares a newspaper report on the recent tenure decision. Dragon says there's no profit in attempting to reverse the decision, because the decision has been made. Ketterman says that while the librarians aren't pleased with the decision, they are resigned to it and glad there is closure. Dragon says that the "no tenure" decision now cuts off progress in the libraries when tenured faculty apply for new positions, as it's probable they would have to give up their tenure to move up in the library system as all are now fixed term appts. Munde raises the point that there are practicalities to now work out, such as these. Ding says she's heard others express concern on the faculty senate. How will this model work on all details in practice? Thompson asks if we've heard anything about having longer term contracts (i.e., 2 or 3 year contracts). We would need longer term contracts to keep good, invested faculty. Almost all fixed term contracts are currently only 1 year. There used to be some exceptions to this, but it looks like that option may be gone. Campbell asks if this is a new code that needs to be accepted or voted on by library faculty. Thomas asks librarians are faculty without tenure, what does it say about it in the faculty manual. There is currently not enough information. Lyndon and Munde both point out that changing to a hierarchy automatically reduces creativity, flexibility, and independence.

Action Taken: Dragon asked Campbell to share these issues, these questions, to Mark Sprague at the Committee on Committees.

Agenda Item: Director's report: Joyner

Discussion: Thomas says a library space task force has been appointed. Gail Munde is serving on this committee.

Action taken: None.

Agenda Item: Director's report: Laupus

Discussion: Ketterman says that ECU is running the Country Doctor's Museum. The Fiscal Sustainability Committee had questions about the feasibility of moving the museum to Greenville.

Laupus currently has no associate director; the interim director isn't a librarian and only is allocated to work there only 20 hours a week.

Action taken: None

Agenda Item: Scholarly communications committee

Discussion: Continuing to put dissertations in the electronic institutional repository. Putting honors college theses into institutional repository. Library guide is done on NIH public access policy and will be shared with faculty.

There is a change in that copyright duties now go to Joseph Thomas. There is a new SPA position in the Research and Scholarly Communication Division.

Thomas asks for ideas about events for SCC?

- Author identifiers. ReachNC profiles are incomplete. Alternatives may also measure impact (and not just the name) of author works. SCOPUS has the web of science, but your impact index is different than your impact index in SCOPUS. What two ways can we measure impact?
- Open access
- Selecting a publisher. Some publishers will allow some public access academia.edu; This may be similar to ResearchGate
- Goals for authoring.
- Web-sharing or author-sharing programs: DBLP Ding says is a web sharing program that links to all co-authors. Fidus writer- space for writing a paper. Sky drive (in MS Word). Orchid, Research Gate, versus other ways authors share; which programs/sites are good; what options to they all allow; how are these program making money? some companies will pay for higher level subscription to them to see more.

Action taken: None
