Due to adverse weather, the sixth regular meeting of the 2014-2015 Faculty Senate was held on Tuesday, February 24, 2015, from 3:30 pm – 5:30 pm in the Mendenhall Great Rooms.

Agenda Item I. Call to Order
Andrew Morehead, Chair of the Faculty called the meeting to order at 3:30 p.m.

Agenda Item II. Approval of Minutes
The minutes of January 27, 2015 were approved, with below corrections:

Agenda Item III. Special Order of the Day
A. Roll Call
Senators absent were: Professors Zoller and Tierno (Art and Design), Sorensen (Criminal Justice), Anderson (Education), Shores (Health and Human Performance), Hernandez (History), Parrish (Interior Design and Merchandising), Robinson (Mathematics), Levine (Medicine), Hines (Nutrition Science), Darkenwald (Theatre and Dance), Vice Chancellor Horns, Provost Mitchelson, Dean White (Engineering and Technology), and Taggart (Faculty Assembly Delegate/Music).

Alternates present were: Professors Anselmi for Tuten (Business), Roberson for Julian (Nursing), Whitley for Eason (Nursing), McCarty for Maher (Philosophy and Religious Studies), and Smirnova for Francia (Political Science).

B. Announcements
The Chancellor has approved the following resolution from the December 2014, Faculty Senate meeting: #14-90 Revised School of Medicine and College of Health and Human Performance unit code of operations.

Each year Chancellor Ballard hosts a reception for Faculty Senators and Alternates and Academic and Appellate Committee members to thank them for their contributions. This year’s reception is scheduled for Monday, March 30 from 5:30 – 7:00 p.m. in the Spilman Building Gallery (lobby). Please place this event on your calendar. Formal invitations will be forthcoming.

Correspondences concerning unit elections for 2015-2016 Faculty Senate representation were distributed to unit code administrators last month. In accordance with the ECU Faculty Manual, elections are to be held during the month of February. Please call the Faculty Senate office if you have any questions.

Faculty interested in periodically receiving issues of The Chronicle of Higher Education are asked to email the Faculty Senate office at facultysenate@ecu.edu and place their name on a list for distribution.

Faculty members are reminded that April 1st Chancellor Ballard will call for candidates for the prestigious Oliver Max Gardner award. University nomination procedures are available online at: http://www.ecu.edu/cs-acad/fsonline/customcf/committee/aa/maxjoyneraward.pdf. Please contact Dorothy Muller, Director of the Center for Faculty Excellence with any questions.
FACULTY SENATE RESOLUTIONS APPROVED AT THE FEBRUARY 24, 2015 MEETING

Resolution #15-18
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Received by the Chancellor: pending

Formal faculty advice on curriculum and academic matters acted on and recorded in the January 26, 2015 Graduate Council meeting minutes (GC#15-1) including revision of Graduate School Strategic Plan; Revision to ECU Faculty Manual, Section II, Part IV, Graduate School Organization (excluding Section G); Revision to Graduate Faculty Criteria, Department of Physical Therapy within the College of Allied Health Sciences, School of Communication and consideration of a Request to Plan a DrPH (Doctor of Public Health) in Public Health within the School of Medicine; January 14, 2015 Graduate Curriculum Committee meeting minutes including curriculum actions (GC#15-2) from the Department of Literacy Studies, English Education, and History Education within the College of Education; Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology within the School of Medicine, Department of Physical Therapy within the College of Allied Health Sciences, programmatic actions (GC#15-3) forwarded to the Educational Policies and Planning Committee include a proposal of a new Public Health Foundations and Practice certificate in the Department of Public Health within the School of Medicine, new Business Analytics certificate in the Department of Management Information Systems within the College of Business and a proposal of a new Professional Science Masters concentration within the MS in Chemistry in the Department of Chemistry.

Resolution #15-19
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Approved by the Chancellor: pending

Revised Student Academic Appellate Committee Charge

(Deletions are noted in strikethrough and additions in bold print.)

1. Name: Student Academic Appellate Committee

2. Membership:
   8 elected faculty members 7 regular and 2 alternate elected faculty members
   Ex-officio members (with vote): The Chancellor or an appointed representative, the Provost or an appointed representative, the Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Studies or an appointed representative the Chair of the Faculty, one faculty senator selected by the Chair of the Faculty, and one student member from the Student Government Association.

   The chair of the committee may invite resource persons as necessary to realize the committee charge. The chair of the committee may appoint such subcommittees as he or she deems necessary.

3. Quorum: 4 elected members including alternates exclusive of ex-officio
4. Committee Responsibilities:
   A. The committee serves as an appeals board for entering students who do not meet admission requirements.
   B. The committee serves as an appeals board for students denied permission to drop a course.
   C. The committee serves as an appeals board for students who wish to appeal administrative decisions involving the interpretation and enforcement of policies pertaining to academic credits and standards.
   D. The committee serves as an appeals board for students seeking readmission after being declared academically ineligible to return.
   E. The committee serves as an appeals board for students appealing financial aid decisions.
   F. The Committee reviews at least annually those sections within the *University Undergraduate Catalog* and *University Graduate Catalog* that correspond to the Committee’s charge and recommends changes as necessary.

5. To Whom The Committee Reports:
The committee reports its appellate decisions to the appropriate administrative office.

6. How Often The Committee Reports:
The committee reports to the Faculty Senate at least once a year and at other times as necessary.

7. Power Of The Committee To Act Without Faculty Senate Approval:
The committee is empowered to make appellate decisions regarding students as outlined in its committee responsibilities.

8. Standard Meeting Time:
The committee meeting time is scheduled for the first Wednesday of each month, **including January, June, July and August.**

---

Resolution #15-20
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Received by the Chancellor:  *pending*

**Formal Faculty Advice on Proposed Web Content Regulation**
The Committee reviewed the proposed regulation and is suggesting no revisions to the document provided below. In addition, after reviewing the content found in the *ECU Faculty Manual*, the Committee found no revisions necessary.

**Authority:** Chief Information Officer


**Related Policies:**
- ECU Academic Computer Use Policy
- ECU University Student and Employee Computer Use Policy
1. Purpose of Regulation
The East Carolina University Web Page Policy provides guidance and minimum content-neutral standards for faculty, staff, and student web developers contributing to the university’s web presence by maintaining web pages on university servers or providing web content to students for instructional purposes. University web space is provided to support the academic and administrative functions of the university. University-related websites are an important means of conducting university business, including but not limited to advancement, communication, education, research, and scholarship.

Specifically, this regulation is designed to:

a. Promote the use of websites in a manner consistent with the mission of the university;

b. Address security issues associated with university information and equipment, and enable appropriate online transactions of university business;

c. Mandate that the development of websites comply with university policies, and applicable state and federal laws; and

d. Provide necessary management and oversight of the university’s resources.

1.1 Web Pages Subject to This Policy

All websites on university servers are subject to this policy. Websites on university servers are either Official University Web Pages or Unofficial Web Pages.

Official University Web Pages include the East Carolina University home page (www.ecu.edu); academic department and program pages; office, administrative, and support unit pages; news and information pages; and any other World Wide Web address that is otherwise sponsored or endorsed or created on authority of a university department or administrative unit; including course pages residing outside the secure course management system.

Unofficial Web Pages are maintained by individual university computer account holders on university servers, such as personal faculty and staff web pages; individual student web pages and university-recognized student organization web pages.
2. Minimum Requirements for Both Official University Web Pages and Unofficial Web Pages

2.1 Accessibility

ECU requires web authors of both official and unofficial faculty and staff web sites at ecu.edu to comply with accessibility requirements mandated by federal and state law. Such requirements include but are not limited to adherence to Section 508. Accordingly, ECU web resources will be accessible to persons with disabilities, where feasible, or a reasonable accommodation will be offered to qualified persons such as providing alternative formats or auxiliary aids and/or making adjustments.

2.2 Hosting within .edu domain

All official websites will be hosted within the .edu domain. Exceptions to this requirement may be granted by the University Web Oversight Committee based on compelling business, technical or security reasons.

2.3 Affiliation and Disclaimer

Official University Web Pages must include a link to the ECU Web Terms of Use/Disclaimer

Unofficial Web Pages: The appearance or design of an Unofficial Web Page should not create confusion that a reasonable person viewing that page would believe that it is an Official University Web Page, or is otherwise sponsored or endorsed or created on authority of a university department or administrative unit. To reduce the likelihood of confusion, every Unofficial Web Page maintained on a university server must contain the following disclaimer:

*This web page is not a publication of East Carolina University, nor is it in any way sponsored or endorsed or created on authority of a university department or administrative unit. The author(s) of this page are solely responsible for its content.*

2.4 Advertising

Advertising by external, unaffiliated organizations is not permitted on any university webpage. Advertising is defined as banner ads or other promotional messages being displayed on webpages in exchange for direct compensation (monetary or otherwise) for their delivery. This regulation does not prohibit the appropriate recognition of sponsors or donors on the webpages of programs supported by their contributions.

2.5 Web Applications and Databases

ITCS must be notified of all intentions to put interactive applications on ECU operated servers. Such applications must be reasonably constrained due to concerns about security, server performance, operational monitoring, and ongoing maintenance. Collecting data received through web applications using applications, such as ColdFusion scripting requires a data source be established on the server. ITCS has the right to deny requests for data sources or to disable existing data sources if security or performance concerns are brought to our attention. Applications that require extensive scripting or involve monetary transactions must be approved by ITCS. Data that is sensitive, private, or requires increased protection is generally not allowed on all public web servers. There are special cases, where information may be collected; however, in all such cases the security requires the approval of...
ITCS and the data owner. Sensitive information should not be displayed or collected by any website residing outside a secure connection.

2.5 Minimum Requirements for Official University Web Pages Only

2.5.1 General Appearance

All Official University Web Pages must follow the minimum design requirements.

2.5.2 University Content Management System Regulation

Effective July 1, 2011, official websites hosted in the ecu.edu domain are to be created and maintained using the content management tools approved by the University Web Oversight Committee.

2.5.3 Maintenance

Each department, office, and academic center must designate one person to be the site’s primary web contact (PWC). The PWC is responsible for ensuring his or her department, office, or academic website adheres to the university web policy. The PWC may delegate steps needed to be in accordance with the policy, such as content creation, but should be prepared to serve as the primary informational contact for inquiries regarding the site. Each area must designate a PWC to obtain space on ECU web servers. In the absence of a PWC, the chair or director will be listed by default.

Official web pages must be kept up to date. Out-of-date information should be removed and new information added on a regular basis. To verify when a site was last updated, all sites will automatically display a "date last modified: mm/dd/yyyy" in the footer of the page. Only active files should be kept on the Internet servers. Inactive sites and files should be removed from official directories and stored either locally or on another campus network.

3 Oversight

Oversight of web pages subject to this policy is the responsibility of the University Web Oversight Committee. Violations of the web policy will be made known to the PWC for resolution. Noncompliance with applicable policies and/or laws may result in removal of web pages or directories from the main web server and/or removal of links to the site from the upper level university web pages and site index. Oversight of web pages will be consistent with the First Amendment.

Beginning January 1, 2014, a quarterly sample of web sites will be reviewed by Disability Support Services, ITCS, and Marketing to ensure sites meet ADA, security, and design requirements. The PWC will be notified if sites are out of compliance and be giving a reasonable timeline to make corrective action. If corrective action is not taken, then the site will be removed from ECU servers and as appropriate the Dean, Director, Department Chair, or Vice Chancellor will be notified.

3.1 Responsibility at Termination

An author of an unofficial web page is solely responsible for moving that page to a new non-university server once the employment and/or academic relationship with East Carolina University has ended,
such as when an individual leaves university employment, a student graduates or is otherwise no longer enrolled at ECU, or where a student organization ceases to exist. ECU reserves the right to remove unofficial websites authored by an individual and/or group who/she no longer maintains an employment and/or academic relationship with ECU without notice as part of its routine maintenance of university servers.

Resolution #15-21
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Approved by the Chancellor: pending

Recommendations Regarding Promotion Raises for ECU Faculty

In a 2014 report to the Faculty Senate, the University Budget Committee examined recent salary increases for ECU employees. Among other things, the report noted that “there are disparities in faculty salary increases across divisions and colleges, including raises for promotion.” In response to this information, the UBC was charged by the Faculty Senate (Resolution #14-52) with reviewing ECU’s policies governing faculty promotion raises. Our review and recommendations follow.

Recommendations

- The University Budget Committee recommends the establishment of a formal policy guaranteeing a raise for all faculty achieving promotion, with funds provided centrally (i.e., not by departments and colleges).

- The University Budget Committee recommends that promotion from Assistant to Associate Professor include a raise of $4,000 and that promotion from Associate Professor to Professor include a raise of $6,000.

- The University Budget Committee recommends that the policy include fixed-term faculty who achieve an increase in rank, but makes no specific recommendation as to the amount of fixed-term raises.

Policies at Peer Institutions

To provide context, the committee contacted ECU’s peer institutions and other UNC system schools to request information about promotion raise policies. The institutions that responded are listed below. It is noteworthy that all of the institutions have in recent years provided campus-wide promotion raises, although in some cases (such as NC State and UNC-Greensboro) this is not codified. Western Michigan and Wright State are governed by a union contract.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peer Institutions</th>
<th>Assistant to</th>
<th>Associate to</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East Tennessee State</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northern Illinois</td>
<td>$7,200</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ohio University</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
<td>$9,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Dominion University</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$8,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas Tech University</td>
<td>$5,000</td>
<td>$7,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of North Dakota</td>
<td>$4,000</td>
<td>$6,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Commonwealth</td>
<td>$1,500</td>
<td>$2,500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The cost of providing for promotion raises is dependent upon the number of promotions. Looking at the past five years at ECU, there were, on average, 54 promotions from Assistant to Associate Professor (45 in Academic Affairs and 9 in the Health Sciences) and 17 promotions from Associate to Full Professor (12 in Academic Affairs and 5 in the Health Sciences). These figures are used in the analysis below.

Promotion Raise Scenarios
To estimate the cost of different scenarios, salaries were drawn at random from ECU’s salary database in order to simulate both an average year and a year with higher than expected promotions. For this analysis, no distinction was made between 9-month and 12-month salaries. Appendix A shows how Assistant Professors with different salaries would fare under three different proposals. In general, percentage raises are more costly than set amounts, although this could be partially offset by a cap.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Average Year</th>
<th>High Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$2000/$3000</td>
<td>$159K</td>
<td>($60/22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$3500/5000</td>
<td>$274K</td>
<td>$320K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$4000/$6000</td>
<td>$318K</td>
<td>$372K</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$5000/$7000</td>
<td>$389</td>
<td>$454</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$6000/$9000</td>
<td>$477</td>
<td>$558</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Average Year</th>
<th>High Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5%/5%</td>
<td>$334K</td>
<td>$418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%/7.5%</td>
<td>$380K</td>
<td>$475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5%/7.5%</td>
<td>$501K</td>
<td>$626</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5%/10%</td>
<td>$548K</td>
<td>$685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further Considerations
- In an era of flat or declining state appropriations, money for centralized promotion raises will, in most years, have to be funded through an ‘internal cut’. Thus, raises will need to be offset by reductions in permanent funds totaling $300-400 thousand in other areas of the budget. Given existing budget allocations at ECU, much of this cut can be expected to be taken from Academic Affairs and the Health Sciences, which may result in reduced budget flexibility and increased fiscal pressures at the college level.
- Given that our suggested figures are higher than has generally been the norm at ECU, we expect that this proposal will be welcomed by most faculty. That said, for faculty members with relatively high salaries, these dollar amounts equate to small raises in percentage terms (see Appendix A). We therefore consider the university-wide commitment to be a minimum, and would expected that
some colleges and/or units may wish to supplement these figures for retention purposes.

- Enacting the new policy will exacerbate existing problems of salary compression, which could negatively impact morale, and may also lead to increased pressure for redress, placing strain on future budgets.

- The inclusion of fixed-term faculty will add additional cost, but it is difficult to estimate how much. Last year, 21 fixed-term faculty members achieved an increase in rank (6 in Academic Affairs and 15 in the Health Sciences), figures that would likely increase once there are fiscal incentives. If promotion raises are implemented for fixed-term faculty, we recommend that the appropriate Faculty Senate committee(s) undertake a review of the criteria for advancement in rank, and the policies and procedures governing the process.

Appendix A. Hypothetical Raises for Assistant Professors under different scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hypothetical Raise for 20 faculty members (Assistant to Associate)</th>
<th>Salary</th>
<th>5.00%</th>
<th>7.50%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts and Communication</td>
<td>$53,624</td>
<td>$2,681.20</td>
<td>$4,022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$53,636</td>
<td>$2,681.80</td>
<td>$4,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Ecology</td>
<td>$53,636</td>
<td>$2,681.80</td>
<td>$4,023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$57,684</td>
<td>$2,884.20</td>
<td>$4,326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts and Communication</td>
<td>$59,708</td>
<td>$2,985.40</td>
<td>$4,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$59,708</td>
<td>$2,985.40</td>
<td>$4,478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and Human Performance</td>
<td>$61,820</td>
<td>$3,091.00</td>
<td>$4,637</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>$63,067</td>
<td>$3,153.35</td>
<td>$4,730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$64,262</td>
<td>$3,213.10</td>
<td>$4,820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Ecology</td>
<td>$65,780</td>
<td>$3,289.00</td>
<td>$4,934</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$66,064</td>
<td>$3,303.20</td>
<td>$4,955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$66,792</td>
<td>$3,339.60</td>
<td>$5,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Ecology</td>
<td>$70,000</td>
<td>$3,500.00</td>
<td>$5,250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technology and Computer Science</td>
<td>$74,875</td>
<td>$3,743.75</td>
<td>$5,616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allied Health</td>
<td>$77,889</td>
<td>$3,993</td>
<td>$5,842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harriot College of A&amp;S</td>
<td>$79,740</td>
<td>$3,987.00</td>
<td>$5,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brody School Of Medicine</td>
<td>$86,020</td>
<td>$4,301.00</td>
<td>$6,452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Business</td>
<td>$103,224</td>
<td>$5,161.20</td>
<td>$7,742</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brody School Of Medicine</td>
<td>$222,225</td>
<td>$11,111.25</td>
<td>$16,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brody School Of Medicine</td>
<td>$303,600</td>
<td>$15,180.00</td>
<td>$22,770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Raise (for 54 raises)</td>
<td>5.74%</td>
<td>$3,993</td>
<td>$5,989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cost (for 54 raises)</td>
<td>$216,000</td>
<td>$215,595</td>
<td>$323,393</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resolution #15-22
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Approved by the Chancellor: pending


Resolution #15-23
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Approved by the Chancellor: pending

Recommendations regarding COAD 1000 including that the Division of Student Affairs continue to oversee COAD 1000 through the 2016-2017 academic year and that in the spring 2017 term, the Foundations Curriculum and Instructional Effectiveness Committee report to the Faculty Senate its findings regarding the impact of COAD 1000 on student success, personal growth, grade point average, retention, and like factors.

Resolution #15-24
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Approved by the Chancellor: pending

Curriculum and academic matters acted on and recorded in the January 22, 2015 University Curriculum Committee meeting minutes, including curricular actions within the Department of Foreign Languages and Literatures, Department of Geological Sciences, Department of Psychology, Department of Geography, Planning, and Environment, Department of Biology, College of Health and Human Performance, and College of Engineering and Technology.

Resolution #15-25
Approved by the Faculty Senate: February 24, 2015
Approved by the Chancellor: pending

Resolution on Adherence to the UNC Policy on Centers and Institutes and the Proposed Changes to that Policy, as follows:
Whereas, East Carolina University has a distinct mission and a unique role to play within our region; and

Whereas, our Board of Trustees, Chancellor and his administration, and the faculty of East Carolina University are best informed and positioned to make decisions about how we pursue our mission;

Therefore, Be It Resolved that the East Carolina University Faculty Senate strongly endorse the February 20th, 2015 Faculty Assembly Resolution Calling For Adherence To UNC Policy 400.5[R] (below) which clearly states the role of each campus in establishing, managing, and discontinuing their Centers and Institutes; and

Be It Further Resolved that the East Carolina University Faculty Senate also oppose the proposed insertion of the Board of Governors and/or the President of the UNC System into decisions about Center and Institute discontinuation, which belong at the campus level.

------------------------

UNC FACULTY ASSEMBLY
Resolution Calling For Adherence To UNC Policy 400.5[R]: Planning, Establishing, and Reviewing Centers and Institutes in The University of North Carolina

Whereas, Policy 400.5[R] Stipulates:
A. “The University of North Carolina (UNC) encourages partnerships within, across, and beyond its constituent institutions that maximize the capacities of UNC to address complex problems of importance to North Carolina, the nation and the world. Such partnerships may take the form of centers and institutes"

B.1.a “Full authority for oversight of institutional centers and institutes rests at the campus level, including establishment, management and discontinuation.”

B.2.b. “The board of trustees of each administrative campus has the authority to approve campus level policies centers and institutes and to authorize establishment and discontinuation of institutional centers and institutes.”

B.5 “Campus level policies must provide a clear process for the discontinuation of centers and institutes, whether on probationary status, performing satisfactorily, or in other extraordinary circumstances. For those entities that involve only a single campus, the process should include approval by the Board of Trustees and notification to the UNC Office of Research and Sponsored Programs. For those centers and institutes that require significant and sustained cooperation among more than one UNC campus, agreement must be reached and documented by the partner Chancellors or designee before the recommendation to discontinue goes before the Board of Trustees at the administrative campus. If such an agreement cannot be reached by partner Chancellors or designees, then UNC General Administration, through the Office of Research and Sponsored Programs, will convene partners and determine an acceptable solution.”
Faculty Senate Meeting Minutes
February 24, 2015

Whereas, the Proposed Draft Amendment to UNC Policy Manual 400.5 [R], Section E. 1. b, inserts the ability of “the president or the Board of Governors [to] determine that a center or institute should be considered for discontinuation;”

Therefore, Be it Resolved that the Faculty Assembly calls upon the Board of Governors to act in keeping with established UNC policy that exclusively designates campus based leadership with the authority to discontinue a center or institute; and

Be it Further Resolved that the Faculty Assembly opposes the insertion of the authority of president or Board of Governors into the process for the establishment, management and discontinuation of centers and institutes which currently is assigned by policy solely to campus based leadership.