Expression and Function of Phosphodiesterase Type 5 in Human Breast Cancer Cell Lines and Tissues: Implications for Targeted Therapy
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Abstract

Purpose: By catalyzing cGMP hydrolysis, phosphodiesterase (PDE) 5 is a critical regulator of its concentration and effects in different (patho)physiologic processes, including cancers. As PDE5 is a known druggable target, we investigated the clinical significance of its expression in breast cancer and the underlying mechanisms by which it may contribute to tumor progression.

Experimental Design: PDE5 expression was evaluated in seven breast cancer cell lines by RT-PCR and immunoblotting. To examine the impact of PDE5 on cancer phenotype, MCF-7 cells expressing lower levels of the enzyme were engineered to stably overexpress PDE5. Proliferation was evaluated by MIT assays, motility and invasion by wound-healing/transmigration/invasion assays, transcriptome-profiling by RNA-sequencing, and Rho GTPase signaling activation by GST-pulldown assays and immunoblotting. Clinical relevance was investigated by IHC on tissues and retrospective studies from METABRIC cohort.

Results: PDE5 is differentially expressed in each molecular subtype of both breast cancer cell lines and tissues, with higher levels representing a startling feature of HER2-positive and triple-negative breast cancers. A positive correlation was established between elevated PDE5 levels and cancers of high histologic grade. Higher PDE5 expression correlated with shorter patient survival in retrospective analyses. On molecular level, stable PDE5 overexpression in Luminal-A–like MCF-7 cells resulted in enhanced motility and invasion through Rho GTPase signaling activation. Treatment of PDE5-stable clones with selective ROCK or PDE5 inhibitors completely restored the less motile and weak invasive behavior of control vector cells.

Conclusions: PDE5 expression enhances breast cancer cell invasive potential, highlighting this enzyme as a novel prognostic candidate and an attractive target for future therapy in breast cancers. Clin Cancer Res; 22(9); 2271–82. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

In 2012, an estimated 1,670,000 new cases of invasive breast cancer were diagnosed among women and approximately 522,000 patients were expected to die from breast cancer worldwide (1). Despite advances in surgery, radiation, and therapy, metastatic disease represents the most important contributor to breast cancer–related mortality (2). Thus, exploration of novel markers and therapeutic targets is critical to the early detection, metastasis prevention, and effective treatment of human breast cancers.

Phosphodiesterases (PDEs) are metallohydrolases which catalyze the breakdown of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) into their biologically inactive 5′-derivatives, thus modulating the amplitude and duration of their intracellular signalings. In most tissues, PDE5 is the predominant isoform responsible for cGMP hydrolysis and its activity is tightly controlled by cGMP itself (3). cGMP regulates a myriad of biologic processes, including cell growth and adhesion, energy homeostasis, neuronal signaling, and muscle relaxation (4, 5). In addition, dysregulation of cGMP homeostasis was observed in various (patho)physiologic conditions, including cancers. Indeed, cGMP signaling, through activation of downstream effectors (i.e., cGMP-dependent protein kinase G-PKG, cyclic-nucleotide-gated ion channels) and/or crosstalk with cAMP pathways, appears to play an important role in promoting apoptosis and inhibiting proliferation of certain epithelial cells (6, 7).

Interestingly, PKG expression or cGMP levels are reduced in cancer tissues and compare with their normal counterparts (8, 9).

In the last ten years, overexpression of PDE5 has been described in various (patho)physiologic conditions, including cancers. Indeed, cGMP signaling, through activation of downstream effectors (i.e., cGMP-dependent protein kinase G-PKG, cyclic-nucleotide-gated ion channels) and/or crosstalk with cAMP pathways, appears to play an important role in promoting apoptosis and inhibiting proliferation of certain epithelial cells (6, 7).
Translational Relevance

Although early detection and conventional therapies have changed the natural history of breast cancer, many patients die from progressive disease. Dissection of the mechanisms underlying breast cancer progression may identify early markers for invasive tumors as well as specific targeted therapeutics, providing further improvement in the clinical outcomes of patients. This study recognizes a novel function for phosphodiesterase (PDE) type 5 in controlling malignant breast epithelial cell behavior and provides important clinical implications that can have an impact on the prediction of the risk and the treatment of patients with breast cancer. First, as enhanced PDE5 expression promotes the invasive potential of breast cancer cells and predicts a worse survival in patients, this enzyme may represent a valuable molecular candidate with prognostic significance. Moreover, as PDE5 is a known druggable target, our findings may be promising for antitumor therapy with reduced adverse effects. Certainly, future studies could clarify the role of PDE5 in breast cancer.

Materials and Methods

Reagents, antibodies, and plasmids
Sildenafil/Y-27632 were from Sigma; PDE5A/p-c-Myc3Thr58/ Ser62/p-c-Myc/-IxB-g Zap32/36/-IxB- NF-kBp65/Laminb/GAPDH antibodies from Santa Cruz Biotechnology; RhoA-C/Gdc42/Rac1-3 from Life Technologies; Cofilin activation/Myosin Light Chain 2 antibody sampler kits from Cell Signaling Technology. pEGFP-C1 vector and the fusion protein expression vector pEGFP-PDE5A were provided by Dr. F. Barbagallo (Sapienza University, Rome, Italy). Scrambled and 4-unique 29 mer PDE5A shRNA constructs in pGFP-C-shLenti vector were from Origene.

Cell culture
MCF-7/T47D/ZR-75/SKBR3/BT-20 and MDA-MB-468/MDA- MB-435 breast cancer cell lines were from ATCC and Interlab Cell Line Collection, respectively, where they were authenticated, stored following manufacturer's instructions, and used within 6 months after frozen aliquot resuscitations. To generate PDESA-overexpressing MCF-7 cells, cells were transfected with pEGFP-PDE5A vector using Fugene6 reagent (Promega). Stable clones were selected with G418 antibiotic (1 mg/mL, Life Technologies), and positive clones were identified using fluorescence microscopy and immunoblot analysis. Cells were authenticated, every 6 months (last examined in February 2015), by short tandem repeat profiling, morphology, doubling times, and tested for mycoplasma negativity (MycoAlert, Lonza).

Transient transfection
For overexpression studies, T47D cells were transfected with pEGFP-C1 or pEGFP-PDE5A vectors and for gene silencing, MDA-MB-468 cells with scrambled or PDE5A shRNA constructs using Fugene6. After 48 hours, cells were harvested and used in different experimental procedures.

RT-PCR assays
PDE5 and 36B4 gene expression were evaluated by reverse transcription (RT)-PCR method as described (22). Primers: forward 5’-ACTTGAGATTGATGATGTCG-3’ and reverse 5’-TGGAGA TAGGCCAGGTGTG-3’ (PDE5A); forward 5’-CAAATCCCAT ATCCCTGTC-3’ and reverse 5’-CTCAACATTCGCCTTCCTGC-3’ (36B4).

Immunoblot analysis
Cell extracts were resolved by SDS-PAGE, as described (22). Immunoblots show a single representative of three separate experiments.

Fluorescence microscopy
Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and permeabilized with PBS + 0.2% TritonX-100. 4',6-Diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI, Sigma) was used for nuclei detection. Fluorescence was photographed with Olympus BX51 microscope, 100× magnification.

MIT cell proliferation assays
Three days after seeding, cell proliferation was assessed using 3-[4,5-Dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT; Sigma) and expressed as fold change relative to empty vector–transfected cells. Data represent three independent experiments, performed in triplicate.

Wound-healing assays
Cell monolayers were scraped and subjected to various experimental conditions. Wound closure was monitored over 24 hours, and the cells were fixed and stained with Coomassie Brilliant Blue. Pictures represent one of three independent experiments (10× magnification, phase-contrast microscopy).

Transmigration assays
Cells under the various experimental conditions were placed in top compartments of Boyden chambers (8-μm membranes, Corning). Bottom well contained regular growth media. After 24 hours, migrated cells were fixed and stained with DAPI. Migration was...
quantified by viewing five separate fields/membrane (10× magnification) and expressed as mean numbers of migrated cells. Data represent three independent experiments, assayed in triplicate.

Invasion assays
Matrigel-based invasion assay was performed in Boyden chambers (8-µm membranes) coated with Matrigel (BD Biosciences, 0.4 µg/mL), as described (23). After 24 hours, invaded cells were quantified as reported for transmigration assays.

RNA library preparation and sequencing
Total RNA was extracted from vector- and PDE5-expressing cells and libraries were prepared and sequenced as described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Rho GTPase activation assays
Rho GTPases activation was determined by active Rho and Cdc42 pull-down and detection kits, following manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies).

Phalloidin staining
Polymerized actin stress fibers were stained with Alexa Fluor 568–conjugated phalloidin, following manufacturer’s instructions (Life Technologies). Cell nuclei were counterstained with DAPI. Olympus BX51 microscope (100× magnification) was used for imaging.

Patients and tissue specimens
A total of 35 primary breast carcinomas and three non-neoplastic breast tissues were analyzed in this study. These carcinomas were obtained from patients who had undergone initial surgery and signed informed consent between 2012 and 2014 at Annunziata Hospital (Cosenza, Italy). The patients’ age at diagnosis varied from 33 to 85 years (mean, 60.6 years; median, 57 years). Characteristics of the patient cohort are reported in Supplementary Table S1. Fresh tissues were formalin-fixed/paraffin-embedded after surgical removal. Sections were stained with H&E to select samples consisting of at least 50% tumor cells and to establish the histologic type and grade (Supplementary Table S1). The clinical investigation conformed the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975 and was approved by ethics and institutional human subjects committees at Annunziata Hospital (Cosenza, Italy).

Classification of molecular subtypes
In breast cancer, IHC has been used as a surrogate for molecular classification by gene expression profiling in large population-based studies, showing an acceptable level of accuracy for determining molecular phenotypes (24–26). Breast subtype classification is described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Immunohistochemical analysis
PDE5A expression in non-neoplastic and neoplastic breast tissues was detected as described in Supplementary Materials and Methods.

Database setup for retrospective study
The entire database contains 1,988 patients (average overall survival: 8.07 years, ER-positive patients: 76%, lymph node–positive patients: 47.3%). Illumina gene chips published by the Metabric-consortia were downloaded from the EGA repository (27). The raw gene-chip data were imported into R and summarized using beadarray package (28). Quantile normalization was performed using preprocessCore package (29).

Statistical analysis
Data were analyzed for statistical significance using two-tailed Student test, GraphPad Prism4. SDs are shown. For RNA sequenc- ing bioinformatics analysis, sequencing reads (50–60 million reads/sample on average) were trimmed, quality filtered, and aligned, including junction-spanning reads back, to the human genome hg19 (Homo sapiens Ensembl GRCh37) using Tophat v.2.0.10 (30). HTSeq (31) was used to compute read counts across each gene, which were then used as input to R package DESeq2 (32). DESeq2 was used to normalize read counts for library size and dispersion followed by tests for differential gene expression. Significant differentially expressed genes were determined using false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff ≤ 0.05 and at least 1.5-fold change between conditions. Functional analyses were performed with Ingenuity Pathway Analysis suit (Ingenuity Systems). For IHC, the correlations between PDE5 and grading/ER/PR/HER2 status were examined with Mann–Whitney U test, between PDE5 and breast cancer subtypes by Kruskal–Wallis test (GraphPad Prism4). Kaplan–Meier survival plot, HR with 95% CIs and log-rank P values were calculated and plotted in R as described (33). Cox proportional hazard regression was computed to compare the association between gene expression, clinical variables including ER/HER2/lung node status, and survival in multivariate analysis using Win-STAT 2014 for Microsoft Excel (Robert Fitch Software). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.05.

Results
PDE5 expression varies among different breast cancer cell subtypes
On the basis of gene expression signatures in breast cancer patients, researchers have currently identified at least four major molecular and clinically distinct subtypes of neoplasm: Luminal A (ER-positive) and B (ER-positive/HER2-enriched), HER2-positive, and basal-like (34, 35). Thus, we first aimed to evaluate mRNA and protein expression levels of PDE5 in breast cancer cell lines of different molecular subtypes (n = 7; refs. 36, 37) by RT-PCR and immunoblot analyses. As shown in Fig. 1A and B, PDE5 expression was detected at very low levels in Luminal A–type (MCF-7/T47D) breast cancer cells. Luminal B–like (ZR-75) cells exhibited a modest induction in PDE5 expression in respect with Luminal A–like cells. Notably, higher PDE5 levels were observed in HER2-overexpressing (SKBR3) and basal-like (BT-20/MDA-MB-468/MDA-MB-435) breast cancer cells. These results may suggest that high PDE5 expression may be associated with more aggressive breast cancer phenotypes.

PDE5 overexpression affects motility and invasion of MCF-7 breast cancer cells
To explore PDE5’s role in breast cancer growth and progression, a breast tumor cell line that expresses the lowest levels of this enzyme, MCF-7, was chosen to generate breast cancer in vitro models exhibiting forced PDE5 overexpression. For direct visual- ization of PDE5 cellular location, the corresponding cDNA was cloned in frame with enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) in the mammalian expression vector pEGFP-C1 and stable clones

www.aacrjournals.org Clin Cancer Res; 22(9) May 1, 2016 2273

PDE5 Enhances Breast Cancer Cell Invasive Potential

Downloaded from clinica...cancerres.aacrjournals.org on March 12, 2018. © 2016 American Association for Cancer Research.
were screened using fluorescence microscopy (Fig. 1C). Parental MCF-7 breast cancer cells are shown along with one clone stably expressing EGFP (MCF-7 e.v.) and three clones expressing cytoplasmic EGFP-PDE5 (PDE5 1/2/3). This was further evaluated by immunoblotting detection, showing the presence of an exogenous PDE5 band (EGFP-tagged, ~125 kDa) in protein extracts from PDE5-overexpressing cells (Fig. 1D). We used these experimental models to first investigate whether PDE5 overexpression may cause any changes in cellular phenotypes, including proliferation, migration, and invasion.

Anchorage-dependent growth assays revealed a slight, but significant increase in cell proliferation in all three PDE5 clones compared with vector-expressing cells (Fig. 1E). We then evaluated the ability of PDE5 overexpression to influence cell migration in wound-healing scratch assays and found that PDE5-expressing cells moved the farthest in either direction to close the gap compared with vector-expressing cells (Fig. 1F).

Given the evident enhancement of motility in PDE5-overexpressing cells, the capacity of cells to migrate across uncoated membrane in transmigration assays or invade an artificial basement membrane Matrigel in invasion assays was tested. Although vector-expressing cells exhibited little motile and no invasive behavior in vitro, our data clearly showed that PDE5 overexpression significantly increased both motility and invasion of MCF-7 cells (Fig. 1G and H).

To evaluate the effects of PDE5 inhibition on breast tumor cell migratory and invasive properties, cells were treated with the specific PDE5 inhibitor sildenafil (Fig. 2A–C). We found that sildenafil treatment was able to completely restore in PDE5 1/2/3 clones the less motile and weak invasive behavior similar to that of control MCF-7 e.v. cells. Interestingly, although at least extent, sildenafil caused a significant decrease in motility and invasion of vector-expressing cells (by 73% and 65%, respectively). This may suggest that PDE5 activity is
FDR cell models revealed 4,425 differentially expressed genes. Comparison of the whole transcriptome of the two expressing MCF-7 cells was carried out by RNA-sequencing. Activation PDE5-overexpressing cells exhibit increased Rho GTPase and metastasis dissemination (38). To validate the gene expression profile identified by RNA sequencing, we compared expression and activation of Rho family of GTPases in vector and PDE5 clones. Increased protein levels of Rho A-C, Cdc42, and Rac signaling showing activation z-score of 1.9, 1.342, and 0.302, respectively (Fig. 4C). The predicted activation of these canonical pathways was confirmed using molecular activity predictor analysis of IPA (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S1). An enriched Rho GTPase signaling profile is consistent with the enhanced migration and invasion capabilities of PDE5-expressing cells, as these proteins are known to govern cell cytoskeleton organization, migration, and metastasis dissemination (38). To validate the gene expression profile identified by RNA sequencing, we compared expression and activation of Rho family of GTPases in vector and PDE5 clones. Increased protein levels of Rho A-C, Cdc42, and Rac 1-3 (Fig. 5A, input panel) along with increased levels of activated forms (Fig. 5A, GFP-bound panel) were detected in PDE5-overexpressing cells. In the GTP-bound form, these proteins are able to interact with effector molecules (i.e., mainly the Rho kinase ROCK and the p21-activated kinase PAK1) to induce phosphorylation of LIM Kinase (LIMK), which is able to inhibit (by phosphorylation) Cofilin, leading to stabilization of filamentous actin structures (39). ROCK has also been shown to phosphorylate the regulatory myosin light chain (MLC), which enhances its binding to F-actin (40). Consistent with increased Rho GTPase activation, the levels of required for controlling migration and invasion processes also of malignant breast epithelial cells expressing low levels of the enzyme.

**Role of PDE5 in motility and invasion of T47D and MDA-MD-468 breast cancer cells**

To extend the results obtained, we transfected vector and PDE5 expression plasmids in T47D Luminal A-like breast cancer cells (Fig. 3A). As previously shown for MCF-7 cells, we found a significant increase in both migratory and invasive cell potential when PDE5 was overexpressed and treatment with the selective PDE5 inhibitor sildenafil completely abrogated these effects (Fig. 3B and C). Again, PDE5 inhibition was associated with a significant reduction of motility and invasion also in vector-expressing cells (Fig. 3B and C). In addition, as a third confirmatory model, we silenced PDE5 expression in MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cells, that express high levels of PDE5-overexpressing cells. In the GTP-bound form, these proteins are known to govern cell cytoskeleton organization, migration, and metastasis dissemination (38). To validate the gene expression profile identified by RNA sequencing, we compared expression and activation of Rho family of GTPases in vector and PDE5 clones. Increased protein levels of Rho A-C, Cdc42, and Rac signaling showing activation z-score of 1.9, 1.342, and 0.302, respectively (Fig. 4C). The predicted activation of these canonical pathways was confirmed using molecular activity predictor analysis of IPA (Fig. 4D and Supplementary Fig. S1). An enriched Rho GTPase signaling profile is consistent with the enhanced migration and invasion capabilities of PDE5-expressing cells, as these proteins are known to govern cell cytoskeleton organization, migration, and metastasis dissemination (38). To validate the gene expression profile identified by RNA sequencing, we compared expression and activation of Rho family of GTPases in vector and PDE5 clones. Increased protein levels of Rho A-C, Cdc42, and Rac 1-3 (Fig. 5A, input panel) along with increased levels of activated forms (Fig. 5A, GFP-bound panel) were detected in PDE5-overexpressing cells. In the GTP-bound form, these proteins are able to interact with effector molecules (i.e., mainly the Rho kinase ROCK and the p21-activated kinase PAK1) to induce phosphorylation of LIM Kinase (LIMK), which is able to inhibit (by phosphorylation) Cofilin, leading to stabilization of filamentous actin structures (39). ROCK has also been shown to phosphorylate the regulatory myosin light chain (MLC), which enhances its binding to F-actin (40). Consistent with increased Rho GTPase activation, the levels of...
phosphorylated LIMK, Cofilin, and MLC are greatly increased in PDE5 clones compared with empty vector cells (Fig. 5B and C). Among other downstream targets of Rho signaling, c-Myc and NF-κB are important for cell motility and invasion (39, 41, 42). In PDE5-overexpressing cells, we detected elevated levels of the activated form of c-Myc (phospho c-Myc), whereas we did not observe NF-κB activation, as evidenced by no changes in phosphorylation of the inhibitor of NF-κB (IκBα) as well as in NF-κB nuclear translocation (Supplementary Fig. S2). To further investigate the role of Rho GTPases activation on cytoskeletal organization in our model systems, we evaluated the formation of stress fibers, as they provide the contractile force required for motility (Fig. 5D). In vector-expressing cells, actin filament remained diffusely distributed in the cytoplasm, whereas overexpression of PDE5 resulted in visible stress fibers. According to these results, treatment with the selective ROCK inhibitor Y-27632 was able to significantly reduce both migration and invasion of PDE5 clones (Fig. 5E and F). Collectively, our data strongly suggest that the molecular and cellular functions identified with IPA are biologically relevant and PDE5 may regulate motility and invasion through activation of the Rho family of GTPases.

PDE5 expression in human breast cancers

To evaluate the clinical significance of PDE5 in human breast tumors, we analyzed its expression levels in patient-derived tissues (n = 35) by immunohistochemical analysis. The characteristics of all patients are listed in Supplementary Table S1. We found that approximately 85% of cases showed cytoplasmic staining for PDE5 in cancer cells. Interestingly, ER/PR-positive tumors exhibited weak (n = 5) or intermediate (n = 15) PDE5 staining, while the strongest staining intensity was observed among HER2-positive or triple-negative (TN) samples (n = 15). In contrast, in non-neoplastic (NN) breast tissues (n = 3), a weak to missing PDE5 expression was detected in the cytoplasm. Representative images of PDE5 staining patterns are shown in Fig. 6A. Accordingly, PDE5 expression had a significant inverse correlation with ER and PR status (Fig. 6B and C) and a significant
Figure 4.
Biologic processes, functions, and pathways identified from RNA sequencing data in PDE5-overexpressing MCF-7 cells. IPA used to identify biologic processes significantly associated with differentially expressed genes (A) and to calculate activation z-score of biologic functions (B) and pathways (C). D, predicted activation of RhoA signaling pathway by molecule activity predictor analysis of IPA in PDE5-overexpressing versus vector-transfected MCF-7 cells.
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positive correlation with HER2 status (Fig. 6D). Of note, as the staining intensity of PDE5 increases, grading of cancer cells also tended to increase ($P = 0.001$), indicating that the higher expression of PDE5 might be related with a higher malignancy phenotype.

We then correlated PDE5 expression with the different subtypes of breast carcinoma and found that its cytoplasmic expression was significantly different among the four molecular subtypes (Fig. 6E). In particular, in HER2 and triple-negative subtypes, PDE5 expression was the highest, followed by the Luminal B-type and the Luminal A-type, that showed the lowest percentage of cells with PDE5 expression. As HER2 and TN types are correlated with a generally more aggressive tumor phenotype and poorer prognosis (26), these results suggest that PDE5 overexpression may be correlated with poor prognosis.

**High levels of PDE5 are associated with shorter survival in patients with breast cancer**

To strengthen the results obtained in human breast tumor tissues, we conducted retrospective analyses of the correlation between PDE5 expression and survival in patients with breast cancer. In the univariate analysis, the Kaplan–Meier overall survival (OS) curve obtained from a cohort of 1,988 patients indicated that increased expression levels of PDE5 are associated with a statistically significant shorter OS when compared with those tumors expressing low levels of PDE5 ($P = 0.014$, HR = 1.2; Fig. 6F). Interestingly, significant difference could also be observed between OS for ER-positive patients having high or low PDE5 levels ($P = 7.4E-04$, HR = 1.4; Fig. 6G), suggesting a role for PDE5 in predicting disease progression in ER-positive tumors that according to IHC may have lower levels of the enzyme.
Figure 6.
Role of PDE5 in patients with breast cancer. A, immunohistochemical detection of PDE5 expression in non-neoplastic breast tissues (NN), ER/PR-positive (+), HER2-overexpressing (HER2), and triple-negative (TN) breast cancer tissues. Representative fields were photographed at 20× magnification (insets, details of PDE5 subcellular localization). PDE5 immunohistochemical expression was correlated to ER (B), PR (C), HER2 (D) status or molecular subtypes (E) of patients with breast cancer. Kaplan-Meier survival analysis relating PDE5 levels and overall survival in all patients (F) or in patients with ER-positive breast cancers (G).
compared with ER-negative ones. In addition, PDE5 retained its significance when performing a multivariate analysis including PDE5 expression, ER, HER2, and lymph node status in the entire database (PDE5: $P = 6.6E-05$, HR = 1.24, ER status: $P = 6.6E-05$, HR = 0.69, HER2 status: $P = 1.0E-05$, HR = 1.6, lymph node status: $P = 1.1E-06$, HR = 2.24). Therefore, high levels of PDE5 expression may independently predict poor outcome among patients with breast cancer.

**Discussion**

Despite vast improvement in the overall survival rate of breast cancer patients, advanced metastatic disease remains a life-threatening stage of cancer. One of the major challenges in mammmary cancer research is to identify key proteins that are directly involved in pathways promoting tumorigenesis and tissue invasion. These proteins can then be explored as early markers for invasive tumors as well as potential targets for the development of therapeutic interventions. Here, we show that PDE5 is differentially expressed in breast tumors when compared with non-neoplastic human breast tissues and that genetic and pharmacologic modulation of PDE5 expression in breast cancer cells to migrate at lower doses than those used to block proliferation (17). Similarly, downregulation of PDE5 in the aggressive human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 resulted in no difference in cell proliferation and reduced motility in vitro and in vivo (45). Here, we show that genetic and pharmacologic inhibition of PDE5 significantly decreases migration and invasion of different human breast cancer cell lines. In contrast, overexpression of PDE5 strongly increases motility and invasion of MCF-7 cells and sildenafil treatment completely restores in PDE5-stable clones the less motile and weak invasive behavior similar to that of control cells. Interestingly, although at least extent, a reduction of migration and invasion was observed after treatment with sildenafil also in vector cells, expressing lower levels of the enzyme, further highlighting a role for PDE5 in controlling migration and invasion processes of malignant breast epithelial cells. Increased formation of actin stress fibers and enhanced contractility are common features of motile cells in two-dimensional culture conditions (46). Indeed, immunofluorescent staining of polymerized actin (F-actin) reveals an induced formation of stress fibers in cells bearing PDE5 overexpression. Accordingly, IPA on PDE5-modulated genes by RNA-sequencing highlights cellular movement as the most significantly represented biologic process. Moreover, most of the genes differentially regulated by PDE5 overexpression are significantly involved in migration of cells. Taken together, these results indicate that PDE5 may be important for sustaining malignant motile and invasive behavior of breast cancers.

The acquisition of a remodeled cytoskeleton and a motile phenotype are important steps in tissue invasion and metastasis establishment, and the Rho family of GTP-binding proteins have been reported to mediate these processes. Although at least 20 Rho family proteins have been identified in humans, the most widely characterized molecules for their effects on cell migration are Rho A-C, which regulate stress fibers and focal adhesion formation, and Rac 1-3 and Cdc42, which control membrane ruffling, and
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