Bone marrow stromal cells use TGF-β to suppress allergic responses in a mouse model of ragweed-induced asthma
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Bone marrow stromal cells [BMSCs; also known as mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs)] effectively suppress inflammatory responses in acute graft-versus-host disease in humans and in a number of disease models in mice. Many of the studies concluded that BMSC-driven immunomodulation is mediated by the suppression of pro-inflammatory Th1 responses while rebalancing the Th1/Th2 ratio toward Th2. In this study, using a ragweed induced mouse asthma model, we studied if BMSCs could be beneficial in an allergic, Th2-dominant environment. When BMSCs were injected i.v. at the time of the antigen challenge, they protected the animals from the majority of asthma-specific pathological changes, including inhibition of eosinophil infiltration and excess mucus production in the lung, decreased levels of Th2 cytokines (IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13) in bronchial lavage, and lowered serum levels of Th2 immunoglobulins (IgG1 and IgE). To explore the mechanism of the effect we used BMSCs isolated from a variety of knockout mice, performed in vivo blocking of cytokines and studied the effect of asthmatic serum and bronchoalveolar lavage from ragweed challenged animals on the BMSCs in vitro. Our results suggest that IL-4 and/or IL-13 activate the STAT6 pathway in the BMSCs resulting in an increase of their TGF-β production, which seems to mediate the beneficial effect, either alone, or together with regulatory T cells, some of which might be recruited by the BMSCs. These data suggest that, in addition to focusing on graft-versus-host disease and autoimmune diseases, allergic conditions—specifically therapy resistant asthma—might also be a likely target of the recently discovered cellular therapy approach using BMSCs.

Results

Lung Pathology. Following ragweed (RW) challenge (for timing of the events see Fig. 1), microscopic examination of the lungs showed minimal or no inflammation in mice sensitized with PBS solution and alum but no RW (Fig. 2A). Conversely, RW-sensitized mice showed extensive inflammation with eosinophil and lymphocyte infiltration and severe perivascular and peribronchial cuffing (Fig. 2B). Mice that were challenged with RW but treated with i.v. injections of BMSCs had significantly less lung pathology: few inflammatory cell infiltrates were observed (Fig. 2C). Although no abnormal mucus-filled cells were observed in controls as defined by histological staining using PAS (Fig. 2D), the amount of stainable mucus in the airways was visibly increased following RW challenge (Fig. 2E). BMSC treatment reduced the amount of mucus to near control levels (Fig. 2F). In addition to improved lung scores (Fig. 3A), we also observed a significant decrease in both total inflammatory cell numbers and eosinophils in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid (Fig. 3B and C).

Cytokine Response. RW-challenged mice showed increased levels of IL-4, IL-13, and IL-5 in BAL, all characteristic Th2 cytokines that highlight allergic inflammation. IL-4 and IL-13 levels were significantly reduced when the animals were treated with i.v. BMSCs (Fig. 3D–F).

Ig Response. RW sensitization and subsequent antigen challenge is known to affect Th2-specific Ig concentrations in blood (19). Indeed, we found that both IgG1 and IgE serum levels were significantly increased following RW application, whereas IgG2a did not change. BMSC treatment resulted in a significant decrease of RW induced elevation of IgG1 and IgE concentrations (Fig. 3G–J).

Use of Allogeneic BMSCs or Skin Fibroblasts Instead of Syngeneic BMSCs. In a few groups of mice, we determined whether there was a difference in effect when we use allogeneic BMSCs prepared from Balb/C mice or syngeneic C57BL/6J dermal fibroblasts instead of syngeneic (C57/BL6) BMSCs. We measured total cell numbers and the number of eosinophils (Fig. 4A and B) as well as IL-4 and IL-13 levels (Fig. 4C and D) from BAL and immunoglobulins from serum (Fig. 4E–G). We found that there was no significant diff—
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ference between syngeneic versus allogeneic BMSCs (i.e., both were equally effective), and skin fibroblasts had only partial effect. Although the number of total cells in BAL was reduced following fibroblast treatment, the number of eosinophils was not different from the untreated group. Fibroblasts behaved similarly to BMSCs in regulating cytokine levels, but they had no effect on serum IgE concentrations. Interestingly, IgG2a levels were highly increased following fibroblast administration—an effect that we never observed using BMSCs.

**Searching for Mechanism of Action.** One hour after i.v. injection of BMSCs on d 14, the cells were found exclusively in the lung whereas virtually no cells were seen in other organs as demonstrated by bioluminescence measurements using luciferase expressing stromal cells (Fig. 5A). To determine if the inflammatory environment seen in asthma could influence homing to and/or survival of BMSCs in the lung, we injected luciferase-expressing cells into animals on d 14, immediately after intra-airway application of PBS solution or RW. Comparing emitted luminescence at different time points we assessed the number of stromal cells still present in the lungs. At 1, 12, and 24 h, the number of BMSCs in control and asthmatic animals were comparable, whereas at 36 h—and more evidently after 48 h—we detected considerably more BMSCs in the asthmatic lungs (Fig. 5A). To follow up on this observation, we injected Q-dot–labeled BMSCs into control and RW-challenged animals and isolated the Q-dot–positive BMSCs from the protease-digested lung cell suspensions using FACS. At 6, 12, and 24 h after injection, the number of Q-dot–positive cells did not differ between the two groups. After 36 h, however, we detected a significant increase in the number of BMSCs retained in the asthmatic lungs, and this difference remained detectable at 48, 72, and 96 h after BMSC injection (Fig. 5B and C). These observations suggest that the developing allergic environment is capable to attract and retain more BMSCs than unaffected lungs, indicating that asthmatic lungs are likely to secrete factors that affect BMSC homing and survival. We next continued to explore the nature of such factors.

Among the number of cytokines known to suppress allergic responses the antinflammatory actions of IL-10, TGFβ, and IFN-γ are especially well established. To determine whether any of these factors contributes to the beneficial effect of BMSCs, we first examined their levels in BAL fluid. There was a significant increase in the level of TGF-β in BAL fluid collected from BMSC-treated versus untreated mice, but no change in IFN-γ or IL-10 levels (Fig. 6A–C). As TGF-β was increased in BAL fluid, and BMSCs are reported to be able to secrete TGF-β (20), we next asked whether serum or BAL fluid from RW-challenged mice could affect TGF-β production by BMSCs in vitro. TGF-β increased in the medium when BMSCs were cultured in the presence of RW-challenged serum or BAL, suggesting that allergy-specific microenvironment (i.e., serum or BAL) is capable of modulating immunoregulatory functions of BMSCs. It has been reported that stimulation of certain immune cells through the IL-4R pathway results in up-regulated TGF-β expression (32). To find out if enhanced TGF-β production by BMSCs in the presence of allergic...
serum or BAL could be triggered by activation through the IL-4R, we repeated the aforementioned experiments using IL-4R-deficient BMSCs. We found that RW-challenged serum or BAL could not enhance TGF-β production in IL-4R-KO BMSCs, suggesting an important role for IL-4 (Fig. 6D).

As both IL-4 and IL-13 are able to bind to IL-4R, in another series of experiments we examined the effect of blocking (using specific antibodies) IL-4, IL-13, or both on the TGF-β production by BMSCs when they came in contact with RW-sensitized serum or BAL (Fig. 6E and F). When serum from RW challenged mice was added to the media, IL4 neutralization alone eliminated the increased TGF-β production but blocking IL-13 did not have this effect (Fig. 6E). Interestingly, when BAL from RW challenged mice was added, the BMSCs increased their TGF-β production even when either IL-4 or IL-13 was blocked; however, blocking both cytokines simultaneously eliminated the effect (Fig. 6F). On the other hand, neither recombinant IL-4 or IL-13 alone or in combination increased TGF-β production (Fig. S1), suggesting a possible role for other factors.

When treating mice with TGF-β- and IL-10–specific neutralizing antibodies before BMSC injection, we observed that blocking TGF-β—but not IL-10—eliminated the beneficial effect of BMSCs demonstrated by the lack of reduction in BAL total cell numbers and eosinophil counts (Fig. 7A and B). Furthermore, in mice injected with TGF-β1–KO BMSCs, the beneficial effect was no longer seen: treated animals showed no reduction in BAL cell numbers, asthma-specific BAL cytokines, or serum Th2 immunoglobulins. Importantly TGF-β1–KO cells were unable to elicit increase in BAL TGF-β concentrations, suggesting that BMSC-derived TGF-β1 is responsible for the effect (Fig. 7C).

The IL-4 receptor is known to activate the STAT6 signaling pathway (21). To see if the IL4Ra/STAT6 pathway is indeed necessary for the BMSCs to act beneficially in an allergic environment—as suggested by the in vitro studies just described—we injected STAT6-deficient BMSCs instead of WT cells and observed no TGF-β elevation in the BAL samples (Fig. 7C). In accordance with this result the elimination of STAT6 also reversed
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**Fig. 4.** Assessing the effect of allogeneic BMSCs or syngeneic skin fibroblasts on RW-induced asthma. Allogeneic BMSCs exhibit a similar inhibitory effect as syngeneic BMSCs on the total number of BAL cells (A), relative ratio of eosinophils (B), BAL inflammatory cytokines IL-4 (C) and IL-13 (D), and serum immunoglobulins IgG1 (E), IgE (F), and IgG2a (G). There were four to eight mice per group. Skin fibroblasts had a partial effect on the aforementioned parameters (see same graphs).
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**Fig. 5.** After i.v. delivery of BMSCs at the time of the first challenge, stromal cells are concentrated in the lung. Asthmatic lungs seem to retain more BMSCs than control unchallenged lungs at 48 h after administration, demonstrated by bioluminescence detection of luciferase-expressing stromal cells. Two representative mice of three used are shown (A). To confirm this observation, Q-dot–labeled BMSCs were injected at the time of the first challenge, and lung cell suspensions were analyzed using FACS at several time points after injection. After 6 h, the number of Q-dot–positive cells (BMSCs) are still comparable in the two groups (each had four mice), but starting at 12 h there are significantly more BMSCs retained in the asthmatic lungs compared with the controls at all time points examined (B and C).
the BMSC-derived attenuation of RW-induced asthma, pointing to the importance of the IL4R/STAT6 pathway (Fig. 7 D–J).

As regulatory T cells (T-regs) are known to play a role in alleviating asthma symptoms and TGF-β has been suggested to play a role in T-reg differentiation, we wondered if the number of T-regs in the lung tissue could also be affected by the BMSC treatment in our model. Analyzing lung single cell suspensions using FACS, we detected a steady increase in the number of T-regs in challenged animals over time. Importantly, as early as after 48 h, as well as after 72 and 96 h after challenge, we found significantly more T-regs in the BMSC-treated lungs than the ones collected from challenged but untreated animals (Fig. 8).

Discussion

Asthma is an inflammatory disease of the airways. In asthma, the lungs are invaded by a variety of inflammatory cells, including eosinophils and lymphocytes. These cells, in addition to resident mast cells, secrete cytokines and chemokines that trigger constriction of the bronchi and secretion of mucus.

The current working hypothesis is that asthma is caused by an abnormal shift in the Th1/Th2 balance in favor of Th2 cells and the production of IL-4, IL-5, and IL-13. Through these mediators, Th2 lymphocytes are thought to recruit additional effector cells to the lungs, and the cells recruited promote allergic inflammatory events (22). BMSCs have been shown to have useful effects in a number of diseases and disease models. In the majority of these disorders, however, the T cell balance is shifted toward Th1 dominance. As BMSCs seem to "normalize" immune responses and reestablish the physiological balance in a variety of autoimmune and infectious diseases (23–27), we wondered whether these cells might also be able to tip the balance back to normal in an allergic environment with already-established Th2 dominance. The rebalancing act would require that the cells detect an imbalance and then take appropriate measures to correct it.

Our initial experiments showed that injecting BMSCs on d 14, when first challenging the sensitized animals significantly improved lung pathology, such as total cell number in BAL; number of eosinophils in BAL and lung scores. Using luciferase-expressing or Q-dot–labeled BMSCs, we demonstrated that lungs are the primary site of BMSC accumulation following i.v. injection, confirming the known phenomenon of cell trapping in the pulmonary microvasculature that is partially related to cell size (28, 29). However, using Q-dot–labeled BMSCs we found significantly more BMSCs retained in the lungs when RW-induced allergic inflammation was present compared with the unchallenged state—a tendency also suggested by the bioluminescent measurements. As in our model, the primary site of pathologic processes is the lung itself, and the enhanced presence of BMSCs could deliver a concentrated effort to modulate pathological immune responses.
IL-10 and TGF-β, two well characterized antiinflammatory cytokines, and IFN-γ, a key Th1 cytokine capable of down-regulating Th2-mediated pathological responses, are all thought to be capable of suppressing asthma (22, 30). As IL-10 has been shown to play a significant role in the beneficial effect of BMSCs in sepsis (27), we first measured cytokines in serum and BAL in the treated versus untreated RW-challenged mice. We found no change in IL-10 or IFN-γ levels, but there was a significant increase in the level of TGF-β, suggesting a different mechanism of action than what was found in a septic environment. We then demonstrated the importance of TGF-β in vivo by treating the mice with TGF-β-specific neutralizing antibodies before BMSC injection. Blocking TGF-β—but not IL-10—eliminated the beneficial effect of BMSC treatment. Next we looked for a possible source of TGF-β. BMSCs themselves are capable of secreting TGF-β (31), which has been implicated as one of the possible mediators of the BMSCs’ immunosuppressive effect. We thus repeated the experiment injecting BMSCs derived from TGF-β−/−KO mice and found no beneficial effect, suggesting that the BMSC-derived TGF-β is critical in suppressing the allergic responses. As TGF-β synthesis can be enhanced through IL-4R in some immune cells (32), we wondered if it is the IL-4 and IL-13 that “turns on” the BMSCs to make TGF-β. We first used BMSCs from mice lacking the IL-4R (which is used by both IL-4 and IL-13) and found that RW-challenged serum or BAL could no longer elicit increased TGF-β production in these cells in vitro. In another set of experiments using neutralizing antibodies against IL-4 or IL-13, we could further demonstrate the importance of the IL4R. As the IL-4R is known to activate the STAT6 pathway (21), we wanted to confirm our results by using BMSCs that genetically lacked STAT6. As expected, STAT6−/−BMSCs were ineffective in alleviating asthma pathology and could not trigger TGF-β elevation in the BAL fluids either. Based on these data, we suggest that IL-4 and/or IL-13 bind to IL-4R receptors on BMSCs activating the STAT6 pathway. This, in turn, drives the cells to produce increased amounts of TGF-β. When this TGF-β is released from the BMSCs in the allergic (i.e., Th2-dominant) environment, TGF-β receptor activation on immune cells could result in a decrease in IL-4 production (33) and ultimately leads to a shift back toward immunological equilibrium (Fig. 9). Surprisingly, neither recombinant IL-4 nor IL-13 alone or in combination was able to elicit the elevation of TGF-β by BMSCs seen with RW-conditioned BAL fluid or blood serum in vitro. This indicates that activation of the IL-4R/STAT6 pathway is necessary but not sufficient to cause TGF-β up-regulation in BMSCs. In addition to IL-4 or IL-13, there must be other important factors in vivo (present in BAL fluid or serum) that contribute to the production and subsequent release of TGF-β by BMSCs.

We also demonstrated that BMSC-treated asthmatic animals recruit significantly more regulatory T cells to the lungs than untreated mice. In animal models of allergic airways disease, T-regs can suppress established airway inflammation and airway hyperresponsiveness (34). Regulatory T cells are thought to suppress infection/inflammation by secreting antiinflammatory molecules (e.g., IL-10, TGF-β) (35, 36). It is possible that BMSCs work together with regulatory T cells to suppress harmful allergic responses in our model. BMSCs could initiate the suppressive process by secreting TGF-β to block the proinflammatory Th2 responses and at the same time induce the differentiation and help the survival of regulatory T cells (30) that will then continue to improve asthma pathology after the BMSCs disappear.

It is worth mentioning that dermal fibroblasts—cells frequently used as control cells in studies of BMSCs—had beneficial effects in the model that we used when injected intravenously. The effect of the fibroblasts was not as strong as that of BMSCs. This suggests, however, that fibroblast-like cells, whether derived from the bone marrow or other organs (including skin dermis), may also have some immunomodulatory properties (37).

In the present study we demonstrated that i.v. injected BMSCs are capable of suppressing Th2-driven allergic responses. It appears that IL-4 and/or IL-13 induce production and secretion of TGF-β by the BMSCs, which in turn effectively suppresses allergy specific pathological changes in the asthma model we studied. These data provide another example of the ability of BMSCs to “sense” their immunological environment and respond accordingly. It is hard to imagine a drug or combination of drugs that could act this way, and further work should be done to determine whether the cells could be used to treat patients with therapy-resistant asthma.

**Methods**

*Mice.* C57BL/6J or Balb/C mice (6 to 8 weeks old) were obtained from Taconic Farms. IL-4Rα−/− and STAT6−/−KO animals were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. TGF-β1−/−KO mice have previously been produced and characterized (38). The animals were housed and maintained in the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases or National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research animal facilities. All studies conformed to the principles for laboratory animal research outlined by the Animal Welfare Act, and were approved by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research and/or National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Animal Care and Use Committee. The groups for in vivo studies contained four to 10 mice, and each experiment was repeated two to three times.

**Antigen Challenge.** To elicit a bronchial allergic response, RW sensitization and challenge were used (18, 19). C57BL/6J mice were sensitized on d 0 and 5 with 200 μL i.p. injections of 50 μg RW extract (Greer Laboratories), emulsified in an equal volume (100 μL antigen injection) of alum (Pierce) as described earlier (39). Control animals were injected i.p. with the same amount of alum mixed with PBS solution. Subsequently, as shown in Fig. 1, the mice were challenged on d 14 and 15 with 50 μg RW extract in PBS solution by intratracheal and intranasal inoculation (30 μL, respectively). Control mice received an equal volume of PBS solution administered via the same routes. In all cases, mice were killed by administering them with ketamine (Fort Dodge Animal Health) and xylazine (Phoenix Pharmaceuticals) 100 mg/kg and 10 mg/kg, respectively, followed by exanguination.

**Histology.** To assess pulmonary inflammation, BAL was performed 72 h after the final allergen challenge (as described later). Lungs were then immediately placed in 10% neutral buffered formalin and sent to Histosey (Germantown, MD), where they were embedded in paraffin and stained. H&E was used to evaluate cellular inflammation and periodic acid–Schiff (PAS) stain was used to visualize mucus-containing goblet cells. Slides were coded and read “blind”; a minimum of four lungs per group were given scores ranging from 0 to 2 based on the level of peribronchial cuffing, perivascular cuffing, goblet cell hyperplasia, and interstitial inflammation (40).
Immediately after the mice were exsanguinated, the lungs were can-

alized with a 20-gauge i.v. catheter and gently washed once with 500 μL 1% PBS (HyClone) in PBS solution (for cytokine analysis) or twice with 7.5 mL 1% FBS in PBS solution (for analysis of cellular infiltration). Samples for cytokine analysis were stored at −80°C. Samples for cellular analysis were spun onto glass slides in a cytopsin instrument (Thermo-Shandon) and stained with Kiw-Diff for differential cell analysis (Thermo-Shandon). A fraction was used to determine total cell counts.

In Vivo Neutralization of Cytokines. IL-10– or TGF–β-neutralizing antibodies (Clone 2AS from Pierce Endogen or Clone 1D11 from R&D Systems, respect-
vatively) were injected intraperitoneally (10 μg/g body weight in 200 μL PBS) on two consecutive days at the approximate time of BMSC injection (d 14 and 15).

Measurement of Serum Antibody Isotypes. Antibody isotypes (IgG1, IgG2a, and IgE) were assayed in sera collected 72 h after last RW challenge using ELISA kits according to the manufacturer’s instructions (Immunology Consultants Labora-
tory). All samples, including standards, were assayed in duplicate.

Preparation of Lung Cell Suspension. Lungs were removed, minced into small pieces, and incubated in RPMI medium 1640 with 1% penicillin–streptomycin and 1% glucose for 30 min at 37 °C with 5% CO2 in the presence of collagenase type 1 (300 U mL−1) and DNase I (50 U mL−1; Worthington Biochemicals). After the incubation, the cell suspension was filtered through a 70-μm cell strainer and then washed with complete RPMI medium.

Data Analysis. Data are summarized as mean ± SE. Student t test or two-way ANOVA were performed using GraphPad Prism version 4.00 for Macintosh (GraphPad Software). The statistical significance value was set at P < 0.05.
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