EAST CAROLINA UNIVERSITY FACULTY SENATE
ACADEMIC, APPELLATE, AND SENATE COMMITTEE
ANNUAL REPORT

2002-2003 Academic Year

COMMITTEE: Academic Awards

1. Membership (include ex-officio members).
   Regular: Jeffery Phipps (chair), Charles Boklage (vice-chair), Rose Allen
   (secretary), Ellen Arnold, Linda Wolfe, Hanna Jubran, Tope Bello

   Ex-Officio: Sylvie Henning, Ed Stellwag, Lorry King, Greg Lapicki, Mark Taggart

2. Meeting Dates (include members present*).
   *and members who contributed to committee action, but were not at the meeting.
   5 Sept.’02: Phipps, Arnold, Allen, Boklage, Bello, Henning, Jubran, King, Lapicki, Stellwag,
   Taggart, Wolfe: Morrison, Mallarkey

   3 Oct. ’02: Phipps, Clayton, Boklage, Wolfe, Henning, Bello, Stellwag, King, Jubran, Mallarkey

   7 Nov. ’02: Phipps. Arnold, Bello, Bolkage, Henning, Jubran. King, Lapicki, Stellway, Wolfe,
   Taggart


   3 April ’03: Phipps, Allen, Arnold, Boklage, Wolfe, Henning, Lapicki

3. Subcommittees established during the year (include progress and/or completion of work).
   University Award for Outstanding Teaching: Phipps (chair), Henning
   BOG Excellence in Teaching Award: Allen (chair), Stellwag
   BOG Distinguished Professor for Teaching Award: Jubran (chair), Taggart
   Research/Creative Activity Award: Boklage (chair), King, Lapicki, Mulla
   Max Ray Joyner Award: Bello (chair), Wolfe

4. Accomplishments during the year, especially as addressed through committee goals. Please
   include recommendations made to any University agency other than the Faculty Senate that will
   be noted under #5.

   Selected recipients at the awards mentioned in item 3 above.

   Discussed suggestions made by Provost Swan for the improvement of teaching at ECU.
   1. Creation of a new teaching award to recognize improvement.
      The committee determined an improvement award would be difficult to judge: What
      would the standards be? What baseline data would be used?
   2. Weekly seminars for new faculty.
      The committee agreed that it might be desirable to extend the Faculty Orientation
      process to include workshops or other resources for new faculty.
      This falls under the charge of Academic Standards Committee.
      The Committee agreed more awards would be desirable, but since we do not feel an
      award for improvement is doable; this suggestion is moot at this period.
5. Reports to the Faculty Senate (include dates and resolution numbers).
   None

6. Business carried over to next year (list in priority order).
   None

7. Evaluation of the committee (include anything that hindered or assisted the committee’s work during the year).
   A. Charge: Our charge is clear and appropriate.
   B. Personnel: An excellent group of members, marvelously assisted by Lori Lee and Dot Clayton.
   C. Attendance: Good. There were some scheduling problems but we were able to resolve these and were able to fulfill all our responsibilities.
   D. Responsibilities: Those who attended on a regular basis did a lot of work and did it well.
   E. Activities: The committee is charged with a great deal of work, if more responsibilities are assigned I fear there would be less willing participation on the part of the already over extended members. Much work was done outside of regular meetings.

8. Suggestion(s) to the Chair of the Faculty and/or Faculty Senate for improving the effectiveness of the committee.
   Again Dot Clayton has helped this committee without any official recognition. It would be nice to recognize her contribution by having her as an ex-officio member.

9. Does the Committee’s organizational meeting next year need to be earlier than the date set this year?
   No