Teaching Grants
Annual Report
1998-1999 Academic Year

1. Membership

Sherry Ginn Psychology Chair
Rick Niswander Business Vice-Chair
Heather Ries Mathematics Secretary
Prem Sehgal Biology
Rose Postel HESC/NUHM
Angela Thompson History
Matthew Mahar Health and Human Performance
Jeff Shippaugh Physics
Dorothea Handron Nursing
Marie Farr English
Harold Griffin Education
Purificacion Martinez Foreign Languages
Dennis Eadus English
Dorothy Clayton Rep of VCAA (ex officio without vote)
Joe Kalinowski Rep VC for Health Sciences/AHS (ex officio without vote)

2. Meeting Dates (names of members absent)

8-28-98 Harold Griffin, Sherry Ginn, Dorothy Clayton
Rose Postel, Dorothea Handron, Marie Farr,
Harold Griffin, Joe Kalinowski Angela Thompson

11-2-98 Harold Griffin, Joe Kalinowski, Rose Postel
Harold Griffin, Joe Kalinowski, Rose Postel,
Dorothea Handron

11-16-98 Harold Griffin. Joe Kalinowski. Rose Postel
Angela Thompson, Rose Postel, Harold Griffin,
Joe Kalinowski, Prem Sehgal, Dennis Eadus

3. Subcommittees established during the year
None

4. Accomplishments

1. The committee evaluated 18 proposals and
recommended that 6 receive priority funding. Four were
recommended should additional funds be available. Eight
proposals were funded by the Vice-Chancellor: 3 Duals and
5 Summer Stipends. Two proposals from Allied Health
Sciences were recommended for funding and money was
granted for both.

2. Drafts of on-line application procedures were created.

3. Grant instructions were modified

4. The committee held a workshop for prospective grantees
on April 26, 1999. Sherry Ginn and Marie Farr led the
discussion. Five people attended

5. Reports to the Faculty Senate
not applicable

6. Business Carried over to the Next Year

1. Revise the on-line grant applications. Clarify the
instructions and trouble-shoot.


3. Require abstract in addition to the progress report. Abstracts must be posted to the website following completion of the project.

4. Examine whether a set meeting time should be established for 2000-2001 and thereafter.

7. Evaluation of the committee

A. Charge: Clearly stated.

B. Personnel: One person was on leave and it is suggested that, in the future, people on leave be replaced on the committee.

C. Attendance: A quorum was always established, however, because of committee members' professional obligations, there was no time when the entire committee could meet.

D. Responsibilities: Clearly stated for each committee member.

E. Activities: Clearly stated for each committee member.

8. Suggestions to the Chair of the Faculty Senate for improving the effectiveness of the Committee.

As stated above, concerning meeting time.