COMMITTEE: 2018/2019 Research/Creative Activities Committee

MEETING DATE: Thursday, December 5, 2019 at 4:00pm, Rawl Annex 142

PERSON PRESIDING: Zac Domire, Chair of the Committee

REGULAR MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Zac Domire, Jeff Coghill, Michele Stacey, Andrew Grodner, Regina Dewitt, Sitawa Kimuna, Shoquan Huo, Martin Reardon

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE: Chal Benson, Mary Farwell, Thompson Forbes

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE: Rachel Baker, Lori Lee

ACTIONS OF MEETING

1. Call To Order- Zac Domire

- 2. Approval of November 7, 2019 minutes- minutes approved
- 3. Rachel drafted proposal to submit to senate to request increased funding for grants. Mary suggested that we take out the statement 4 regarding the faculty research advisory committee. Additional discussion regarding past amounts and future priority. Mary moved to remove statement 4 and Jeff seconded.

Motion to approve with amendment- approved

- 4. Reports
 - a. Chair report on Research Advisory Committee meeting
 - a. Zac discussed- VC Thorndike report on budget- The university is looking for money for support for startup funds. It does not look like there will be any startup for new faculty next year. Mary said the division has to be run on F&A and so will not be able to fund any startups. They have seen an increase in F&A coming in.

5. Old Business

- a. Centers and Institutes Policy
 - a. Revised since last vote, any new recommendations? Our primary concern previously had been about adequate faculty representation- 2.1.3. Has not been incorporated into the new draft. Language should be added to reflect who should be on the committees.
- b. Mobile Computing Policy
 - a. Discussion: Question as to relevance to our committee. Zac pointed out that it would impact research data. Zac questioned whether it was too restrictive. Spell out what sensitive data would be. Research data is protected through IRB policies. Section 3.7 definitions: Definition of research data is unclear. Clarity on sensitive university information and proprietary information. Sensitive research data is very specific. Research data is too broad. Motion to revise for specificity about what types of research data are included (Mary moved, Regina, second). Motion approved.

c. Course Based Research

a. Mary shared an update- several faculty have been funded to develop CUREs over the past few years- next steps: designating a course in the curriculum as a research intensive course-committee and rubric/list of characteristics has been developed. Draft to be submitted to faculty senate for approval. Asked for comments to Mary, not official recommendations.

- d. New Business- Andrew requests we talk about advertising what the university does in terms of research. Public relations and Alumni advertising. Agenda item for next meeting to continue discussion.
- 6. Adjournment-5:13