Faculty Senate Teaching Grants Committee

Minutes: November 1, 1996

The meeting was called to order at 3:05 p.m. by Chair, Celeste Winterberger. The minutes from the October 25, 1996 meeting were distributed.

Sherry Ginn distributed the statistics (mean, standard deviation, minimum and maximum scores) for the initial screening of the 25 grants to be evaluated this year. It was decided that a mean score of 70% or above would be necessary for recommending any grant for funding.

The committee began its deliberations by discussing each grant. All members of the committee were given the opportunity to discuss their ratings of the grants. Discussion of grants 97-TS-1, 3-5, 7-18 were completed. Grants 97-TS-2 and 6 had previously been disqualified.

Matt Mahar asked what the procedure was if someone wished to change their ratings. It was decided that after all of the grants have been discussed then the opscan forms would be returned to each committee member and they would have the opportunity to re-rate any proposals they wished to. These would be returned to Sherry Ginn so that she could re-calculate the statistics (as above). The new statistics would then be presented to the committee.

Two suggestions were proposed for committee consideration. One was to amend the proposal evaluation sheet: for #1, need and impact on line 1 insert the word approved (i.e., for an approved course). In addition, Celeste Winterberger stated that she wanted the applicant to indicate how their evaluation materials would affect the course in the future. Perhaps this should be added to the instructions to applicants. Both of these suggestions were tabled until the Spring semester.

The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 p.m.

Respectively submitted,

Sherry Ginn
Secretary