Agenda Item I. Approval of Minutes

The minutes of the previous meeting were approved without amendment.

Agenda Item II. Old Business

Prof. Grossnickle reported that he had attended a meeting of the Faculty Governance Committee regarding the Dept. of History code. The judgment of the committee was that the constitution and duties of History's Review Committee were in keeping neither with the spirit nor the letter of Appendix D. Prof. Grossnickle said that he would convey this decision to the History Dept.

Agenda Item III. Sociology Code

The Committee arrived at the following suggestions for changes or matters requiring clarification:

p.1 1.24 "with academic rank and titles" seems to include the same group mentioned in voting faculty, 2. It was suggested that "and" be changed to "or" to include part-time or other faculty who may have titles but not regular academic rank. This would allow the apparent intention of differentiating 1 from 2.

p.1 1.38 Provision for the quadrennial evaluation of the chair (Code Format Sect. VI C) is missing from the document. Insert after "administrative officer" ", and is selected and evaluated following procedures in Appendix L".

p.5 1.21 change "make" to "forward".

p.5 11. 30 ff. Provision for the 5-Year Unit Program Evaluation (Code Format Sect. VI B) is missing from the document. Insert somewhere (perhaps in II. Procedures) a simple statement that "The 5-Year Unit Program Evaluation shall be conducted following procedures in Appendix L."

p.6 11.2-4 Is not voting faculty meant? and only for departmental action or also for the quorum? Is a quorum actually desired to conduct business?

p.10 1.24 Either delete "Research Faculty." or explain this designation.

The committee voted unanimously that the code in its current form was not acceptable, but that with these amendments and answers to queries, it would be. The changes requested were regarded as slightly more than editorial or provisional approval would have been granted.

Agenda Item IV. Education Code

General Observations: Date all pages.

Specific Observations and Suggestions for Amendment :

p.1 11. 51-54 Include "special faculty appointment" in list of faculty (as included under voting faculty on p.2 1. 69.

p.2 1. 69 Change "title" to "rank".

p.3 11. 128-29 Does not each department wish to specify the
size and composition of its personnel committee?

p.3 ll. 133, 151 Is not "eligible" redundant? Define or delete.

p.3 ll. 143-44 There is an inconsistency with the previous (1) on course proposals in which the Unit Curriculum Committee submits recommendation to the departmental faculty. Is there a deliberate intention to by-pass the departmental faculty for new degree programs in (2)?

p.3 l.159 Define "this". Is the recommendation from the search committee intended, or one from the Personnel Committee?

It was the consensus that work should resume after fall break, with the next meeting tentatively scheduled for November 3.

The meeting was adjourned.